

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_103876
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and characterization of Lactobacillus strains with probiotic potential from dairy products
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>  7.	yes  yes  yes  yes  yes  Sufficient, but not recent! The bibliographic titles are old: the most recent work is from 2010 (two), so from 13 years ago!! Out of the total of 31 works mentioned, one is not cited (but it would be from 2008), and 22 are at least 20 years old or older! The rest are: one from 2004, one from 2005, two from 2006, another from 2007 and one from 2008 (other than the one not mentioned). Much newer works should also be cited! In the scientific world, 15-20 years means a lot!  An uncited title, which can be found in "References"; some symbols should be explained	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	ok	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The paper work is well structured, but it sins by the fact that new cited titles are missing. There are also some technical editing problems.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u> no	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Magdalin Leonard Dorobat</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Politehnica Bucharest National University of Science and Technology, Romania</b>