

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_105342
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF POTASSIUM BROMATE LEVELS IN BREAD BRANDS SOLD IN RUMUOLUMENI AND MILE 3 AREAS OF PORT HARCOURT METROPOLIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Yes: This manuscript supports what others have found on the potassium bromate levels in Nigeria. Having several studies that show the same results is important to verify the experimental process.</b></li> <li>2. <b>Yes, the title is suitable.</b></li> <li>3. <b>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive.</b></li> <li>4. <b>The subsections and structure are appropriate.</b></li> <li>5. <b>The manuscript supports other research with the same results, so I believe it is scientifically correct.</b></li> <li>6. <b>References. Most are good, I believe the authors could find a stronger reference for their first one. There are many textbooks or other journal articles that would supply the same information.</b></li> <li>7. <b>Other suggestions:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Methods: describe how you get the bread powder; Did you dry it and grind it?</b></li> <li>• <b>It looks like from table 1 that you had more than one sample for each bread. (n=3), state that you did all experiments in triplicate</b></li> <li>• <b>You need to describe the statics programs you used to find your mean and significance in the methods section</b></li> <li>• <b>Results: it would be helpful to include a figure for the plot of absorbance against concentration results.</b></li> <li>• <b>It would also be interesting to see a photo (figure) of your purple results in their varying degrees</b></li> </ul> </li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The English language is suitable.</li> <li>2. In the last paragraph I would recommend inserting "and" before the word oligouria.</li> <li>3. Avoid using abbreviations without first telling who they are. The authors used "NAFDAC", in the abstract and discussion, but have not stated what the acronym stands for.</li> <li>4. In the last paragraph of the Introduction you say just bromate, I would put potassium bromate to your readers know it is the same thing.</li> <li>5. Your last sentence in the Introduction I would make into two sentences. Continuous monitoring is needed (abbreviated thought) and then state the purpose of the study as a separate sentence. i.e. This study looks at the bread sold around....) it is awkward as written.</li> <li>6. I recommend always capitalizing "Mile 3" since it is a specific place.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>All comments are above.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Karen Breshears</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Central Missouri, USA</b>