

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_104414
Title of the Manuscript:	Relation between Attitude towards the Organization and Profile Characteristics of Staff of Farmer Producers Organization
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The presented work is definitely important for the scientific community. This is an example of applied research that should contribute to important reforms to improve the lives of millions of people in India. The purpose of this study is to determine the attitude of the participants of these reforms to the reform itself.</p> <p>The title of the article corresponds to the content</p> <p>The abstract of the paper provides a general orientation for the reader about the content of the article.</p> <p>The article is generally correctly structured, and the scientific apparatus is generally used correctly.</p> <p>Literary sources are quite sufficient. References to literary sources are correct, and almost all of them are modern.</p> <p>However, it should be noted the disproportionate emphasis on the sources of Indian researchers. With all due respect to the scientific level of Indian science, I must note that the problem solved by the authors is of general scientific and human importance and therefore it is worth taking into account world experience. Job satisfaction is a complex phenomenon with multiple facets. Due to a certain limitation of literary sources, the presented results are not completely convincing. In particular, it is not shown in which scales the data were presented and how these data were then processed. Usually, a Likert scale is used for survey cases. It is also not clear according to which method the correlation in was conducted. In my opinion, the best method for modeling structural equations, and those already implemented in available statistical packages, such as AMOS.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Leonid Galchynsky</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Igor Sikorsky National Technical University of Ukraine, Ukraine</b>