

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_104748
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF THE PHYSICOCHEMICAL AND BACTERIOLOGICAL CONTENT OF SOME DRINKING WATER SOURCES IN JOS SOUTH LGA IN NORTHERN SENATORIAL DISTRICT OF PLATEAU STATE NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajacr.com/index.php/AJACR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1, The article is important in the scientific community.</p> <p>2. .Title is well-written.</p> <p>3. Absratc are up to standards.</p> <p>4. Fix the introduction. There are thought gaps on each paragraph. Write it as fluently as possible.</p> <p>5. It will be better to compare the result with water standard in Nigeria or nearby countries in Africa. Comparing the standards to US may put the water samples tested un par with those standards.</p> <p>If there is a lack of standards, document standards from UN or other governing agencies worldwide and not just US.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ronnel Joseph Tracena Competente</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Graduate Studies, Naga College Foundation, Philippines</b>