

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_104849</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth and Yield of Beetroot (Beta vulgaris L.) cv. Ruby Queen</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, The experiment on beet root may contribute significantly to the scientific communities as it is being recommended for food supplement. In addition, the trial with microbial fertilizers will add to the techniques where chemical free farming are being emerging worldwide.</p> <p>Appropriate</p> <p>Yes, it is comprehensive, It has fulfilling the standards of the international standards. Author has included experimental methods, findings etc in this section.</p> <p>Yes appropriate because authors divided the results and discussion with suitable sub sections. That are essential and need of the manuscript.</p> <p>Definitely, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes, however I have included and suggested two reference on IPNM.</p> <p>The conclusion seems very short, it should be suggestive rather than data base. Needs rewrite.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is suitable for scholarly communication and publication</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The submitted manuscript is a good piece of work which has scientific significance particularly of food crops. The work is original.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Krishna Kumar Chandra
Department, University & Country	Guru Ghasidas Central University, India