

Assessment of Physico-chemical Properties of Soil as influenced by Different Moisture Regimes and Nitrogen Sources

Comment [oe1]: I suggest to add wheat in the title

Abstract

An experiment was conducted at Student's "Instructional farm of Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology Kumarganj, Ayodhya (U.P.) during *rabi* season 2019-2020. The experiment was laid out in split plot design (SPD) with three replications using the wheat variety HD- 2967. The treatments comprised of four levels of irrigation viz. 0.6 IW/CPE ratio (I₁), 0.8 IW/CPE ratio (I₂), 1.0 IW/CPE ratio (I₃), 1.2 IW/CPE ratio (I₄), and three nitrogen sources viz. 100% (RDF) through urea (N₁), 50% RDF + 50% FYM (N₂), 50% RDF + 50% poultry manure (N₃). The build-up in organic carbon was found with the application of moisture regime at 1.2 IW/CPE and the minimum was received with the moisture regime at 0.6 IW/CPE during the investigation. The increment level of available nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and zinc were found with the increasing level of moisture regime. The maximum was found with the application of moisture regime at 1.2 IW/CPE and the minimum was received with the moisture regime at 0.6 IW/CPE during the investigation.....

Comment [oe2]: Add conclusion

Keywords: Wheat, Moisture Regime, Nitrogen Sources, Soil fertility

Introduction

The most significant cereal crop in the world is wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). When it comes to production and area among the major grains, wheat tops the list and provides the primary source of nutrition for around 35% of the world's population (...). In India, wheat is the second-most significant grain crop in India and is crucial to the nation's food and nutritional security. With a higher protein content than either rice or maize, it is the main source of protein in human cuisine. It currently ranks second to rice as the primary food source for humans in terms of total food production (...).

Comment [oe3]: Add reference

~~Water is essential at every developmental phase starting from seed germination to plant maturation for harvesting the maximum potential yield of wheat. There is a positive correlation between grain yield and irrigation frequencies (Kumar et al., 2012).~~

Comment [oe4]: Add reference

Irrigation should aim to restore soil water in the root zone to a level at which crop can fully meet its evapotranspiration requirement. The amount of water to be applied at each irrigation and how often a soil should be irrigated depends, however on several factors such as the degree of soil water deficit before irrigation, soil type, crops and climatic conditions (Debaeke et al., 2004). There is a positive correlation between grain yield and irrigation frequencies (Kumar et al., 2012).

Nitrogen is one of the major essential elements which influence the growth, yield and quality of wheat more than any other single nutrient element (Tamim Fazily 2020). Organic manures, such as FYM, vermicompost and poultry manure act as a good reservoir of nutrients and water in the soil, which helps to improve soil structure, increase soil infiltration and promote the growth and population of beneficial soil microorganisms (Singh *et al.*, 2020).

The increase in eco-friendly production of wheat can be made possible by widespread adoption of improved Technologies of which fertilizer management particularly that of nitrogen and organic manure can play a key role. ~~Use of organic manures like farm yard manure (FYM), goat manure, poultry litter etc. for crop production might be a substitute of the chemical fertilizers. The use of fertilizer is very essential for better crop production (Singh *et al.*, 2013).~~

Compost alone and in combination with chemical fertilizer in the same level reduced the soil pH; ~~increasing~~ increased electrical conductivity, available phosphorus, water soluble potassium K and organic matter status of soil significantly (Kumar *et al.*, 2023). While,

~~a~~ Addition of organic material to the soil such as farm yard manure (FYM) helps in maintaining soil fertility and productivity. It increases soil microbiological activities, plays key role in transformation, recycling and availability of nutrients to the crop (Singh *et al.*, 2013). It also improves the physical properties like soil structure, porosity, reduces compaction and crusting and increases water holding capacity of soil (Verma *et al.*, 2018). In this context, the aim of this work...

Materials and methods

Site description

The field experiment was conducted during Rabi season 2019-2020 at Student's "Instructional farm of Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology Kumarganj, Ayodhya Uttar Pradesh, India, on the left side of Ayodhya-Raibareilly road at a distance of 43 km away from Ayodhya district headquarter.

Climate in brief

The local of experimental site lies between a 26.470 N latitude, 52.120 E longitude and an altitude of 113 meters from mean sea level in the gangetic alluvium of eastern Uttar Pradesh. This regions falls under the sub-tropical climate of Indo-Gangetic plains of eastern Uttar Pradesh (India) having alluvial sodic soil. The climate of the area is subtropical and semi- arid with an average annual rainfall of about 1070 mm.

Sampling

Wheat Variety details

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Comment [oe5]: Add the aim

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Normal

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

HD – 2967 variety was taken for experiment, it is most suitable for north eastern plain zones of India, which includes a part of Uttar Pradesh also. It is an early maturity variety between (135-150 days.) Its average yield is 40- 50 quintals per hectare. The experiment was laid out in split plot design (SPD) with three replications.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Comment [oe6]: Add the protocol design of plots

Scheduling of irrigation

According to the irrigation schedules for the relevant treatment, a set amount of 60 mm of water was applied to the relevant experimental plots. For better crop establishment, uniform irrigation was applied to all treatments right away after sowing, regardless of the treatments. The USWB class 'A' open pan evaporimeter situated at the meteorological observatory, which was close to the experimental plot, was used to measure the daily pan evaporation, from which the cumulative pan evaporation data were computed. Each treatment received a set depth of irrigation water of 60 mm based on an IW/CPE ratio of 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, and 1.2, respectively.

A fixed irrigation depth (6 cm) was applied. The cumulative pan evaporation (CPE) varied with IW/CPE ratio and hence irrigation based on IW/CPE applied as (table 1).

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Normal, Justified, Indent: First line: 0.5"

Formatted

Comment [oe7]: To add

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Not Raised by / Lowered by

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0", Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Justified, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Normal, Indent: First line: 0.5", Right: 0"

Formatted

Formatted: Normal, Justified, Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0.5", Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted

Comment [oe8]: Add reference

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (India), Check spelling and grammar

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.01"

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (India)

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

I₁ = 6 cm depth of irrigation at 0.6 IW/CPE ratio (CPE = 100 mm), I₂ = 6 cm depth of irrigation at 0.8 IW/CPE ratio (CPE = 75 mm), I₃ = 6 cm depth of irrigation at 1.0 IW/CPE ratio (CPE = 60 mm), I₄ = 6 cm depth of irrigation at 1.2 IW/CPE ratio (CPE = 50 mm)

$$IW/CPE = \frac{\text{Irrigation water depth (mm)}}{\text{Cumulative pan evaporation (mm)}}$$

CPE is the sum of daily pan evaporation recorded from an open pan evaporimeter. Whenever cumulative pan evaporation value reached at respective desired level, the irrigation was given as per treatment. Effective rainfall occurred during the crop growth period was also taken into consideration by subtracting it from CPE of that treatment levels.

The time of irrigation in each plot was given on the basis of formula given as under of

$$t = \frac{ad}{q}$$

With Where;

t is the = time of application of water;

a is the = area of plot to be irrigated m²;

d is the = depth of water (cm) and

q is the = discharge rate (liter /sec)

Table1: treatment

<u>Treatment</u>	<u>Irrigation Water depth (IW) mm</u>	<u>Cumulative Pan Evaporation CPE (mm)</u>	<u>IW/CPE ratio</u>
<u>I₁</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>0.6</u>
<u>I₂</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>0.8</u>
<u>I₃</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>1.0</u>
<u>I₄</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>1.2</u>

Soil sampling and proprieties

The fertility status of soil texture, bulk density, pH, Electrical conductivity, organic carbon, Soil moisture percentage before irrigation and after irrigation have been determined. Soil sampling was done at before and after irrigation with the help of using a soil auger according to [..] protocol. Briefly, sSoil samples was were put on the moisture box for getting accurate moisture percentage. Then, ~~Weight the container with~~ soil samples ~~were weighted in a container and and dried~~ the sample for 24 hours at the temperature of 105⁰C in oven. ~~In the end, the soil samples were then reweigh-ed~~ the sample, ~~subtract~~ the weight of the container ~~was subtracted~~, and ~~then calculate~~ the moisture percentage ~~was calculated with~~ following the formula of [..]-

Soil Moisture Content (%) = WS1-WS2/WS2x 100

With here;

WS₁ is the = weight of fresh soil sample and -

-WS₂ is the = weight of oven dried soil samples

Statistical analysis

Results and Discussion

Physico-chemical Properties of Soil as influenced by Different Moisture Regimes and Nitrogen Sources

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0", Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0.01"

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0.01", Right: 0"

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0.01"

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Comment [oe9]: To add

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Normal, Justified, Indent: Left: 0"

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0.5", Right: 0.23", Space Before: 0.05 pt

Comment [oe10]: Add reference

Comment [oe11]: Add reference

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Normal, Right: 0"

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Tab stops: Not at 2.01"

Comment [oe12]: To add

Comment [oe13]: The figure 1 should not be presented in this way
Also you have to give a short description ...

The fertility status of soil Texture, bulk density, pH, Electrical conductivity, organic carbon Soil moisture percentage before irrigation and after irrigation have been presented in

Comment [oe14]: You have to give a short description of these parameters and to discuss with other works

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"


Table 1. Physico-chemical Properties of Soil as influenced by Different Moisture Regimes and Nitrogen Sources

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"

Comment [oe15]: I think that some of these parameters should be presented in the M&M section

Treatment	Soil Texture	Bulk Density (Mg m ⁻³)	Moisture (%) Before irrigation	Moisture (%) after irrigation
Moisture regimes				
0.6 IW/CPE ratio	Silt loam	1.42	11.42	21.5
0.8 IW/CPE ratio	Silt loam	1.42	12.1	21.8
1.0 IW/CPE ratio	Silt loam	1.43	12.3	22.2
1.2 IW/CPE ratio	Silt loam	1.43	12.5	22.5
SEm±			0.36	0.56
CD at 5%			1.25	1.75
Nitrogen sources				
100% N from urea (N ₁)	Silt loam	1.42	11.20	21.23
50% N from urea +50% N from FYM (N ₂)	Silt loam	1.40	13.20	23.20
50% N from urea + 50%N from Poultry manure (N ₃)	Silt loam	1.41	13.40	23.60
SEm±			0.37	0.57
CD at 5%			1.14	1.82

fig. 1 Bulk density, Moisture % before and after irrigation of Soil as influenced by Different Moisture Regimes and Nitrogen Sources



Soil pH and Electrical conductivity

Data pertaining to soil pH as influenced by different moisture regimes and nitrogen sources. The reduction in pH was ~~asere obtained~~ observed with the application of the four treatments irrigation_0.6 IW/CPE ratio (8.20), 0.8 IW/CPE ratio (8.23), 1.0 IW/CPE ratio (8.20), 1.2 IW/CPE ratio 8.20). However ~~there~~ no clear trend has been found with moisture regime and sources of nitrogen.

Among the nitrogen sources the data showed that the soil pH did not more effected by (100% RDF), N₁, 50% N from urea +50% N from FYM (N₂), 50% N from urea + 50% N from Poultry manure (N₃) (table..).

The reduction in soil pH with the application irrigation on the basis of IW/CPE ratio might be due to dilution of salts and leaching of ions beyond the root zone. Use The use of organic manures viz., poultry manure and FYM has also been known- to help in reducing the soil pH to some extent by producing organic acids while their decomposition that may also be the reason of greater availability and mobility of nutrients mainly of micronutrients. These findings are corroborated by (those of Jat et al., (2011) who..):

Organic Carbon

The observation regarding the organic carbon in gkg⁻¹ presented in Table 2. Revealed that a slight improvement in organic carbon was obtained with increasing level of moisture regime up to 1.2 IW/CPE ration. It could be due to the decomposition of organic- residues and the release of solubilized plant nutrients with maintaining of the proper soil- moisture levels (Cauley *et al.*, 2009).

Among the application of recommended doses of fertilizer and apply the organic manures along with inorganic fertilizer enhanced in build-up of organic carbon. The maximum organic carbon (4.89 gkg⁻¹-) was obtained with the application N₃- 50% RDF+50% N through poultry manure followed by N₂- 50%RDF+ 50%N through FYM. The minimum was recorded with the application recommended doses of fertilizer

Table 02 The variation of pH, electrical conductivity_(EC) and organic carbon(OC) with respect of different treatments.

Treatment	pH	EC (dSm ⁻¹)	OC (g kg ⁻¹)
Moisture regimes			
0.6 IW/CPE ratio	8.20	0.32	4.22
0.8 IW/CPE ratio	8.23	0.31	4.43
1.0 IW/CPE ratio	8.20	0.31	4.45
1.2 IW/CPE ratio	8.20	0.31	4.68
SEM±	0.17	0.004	0.37

Formatted: Right: 0.15", Space Before: 0 pt

Comment [oe16]: To add

Comment [oe17]: Give a little review of their finding
Also add those of other studies

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Normal, Indent: First line: 0.5", Right: 0", Space Before: 0 pt

Comment [oe18]: Add discussion

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

CD at 5%	0.58	0.016	2.23
Nitrogen sources			
100% N from urea (N1)	8.05	0.31	4.44
50% N from urea +50% N from FYM (N2)	8.05	0.31	4.68
50% N from urea + 50% N from Poultry manure (N3)	8.00	0.32	4.89
SEm±	0.17	0.005	0.46
CD at 5%	0.53	0.014	1.34

Available Nitrogen, Phosphorus Potassium and Zinc of Soil as influenced by Different Moisture Regimes and Nitrogen Sources at harvest of the crop

The data regarding the available N, P, K and Zn presented in Table 3-A Evident that slight increment was received with the increasing soil moisture regime up to 1.2 IW/CPE ratio.

The lower value was obtained with 0.6 IW/CPE (table.).

In respect of nitrogen sources which were applied as 100% RDF, 50% RDF+ 50% through FYM and 50% through RDF+ 50% Poultry Manure.

The maximum nutrients viz N, P, K and Zn were analyzed at after harvest of the crop with the application Nitrogen through 50% RDF+ 50% Poultry manure. The minimum was received with the application of 100% RDF through inorganic fertilizer. The build-up of available nutrients with the application irrigation on the basis of IW/CPE ratio might be due to dilution of salts and leaching of ions beyond the root zone. Use of organic manures viz., poultry manure and FYM has released the availability and mobility of nutrients after the decomposition mineralization organics sources of nutrients mainly of micronutrients.

These findings are corroborated by (Saha *et al.* 2019).

Table: 03 Available N, P, K& Zn of Soil as influenced by Different Moisture Regimes and Nitrogen Sources

Treatment	Available Nutrients (kg/ha ⁻¹)			
	Nitrogen (kg/ha ¹)	Phosphorus (kg/ha ¹)	Potassium (kg/ha ¹)	Zinc (mg/ha ¹)
Moisture regimes				
0.6 IW/CPE ratio	210.47	16.50	250.67	0.54
0.8 IW/CPE ratio	211.64	17.07	250.80	0.55
1.0 IW/CPE ratio	211.67	17.23	254.67	0.55
1.2 IW/CPE ratio	212.83	17.57	257.00	0.56
SEm±	2.24	0.41	1.90	0.02

Formatted: Right: 0.15", Space Before: 0 pt


Formatted: Indent: First line: 0", Right: 0.15", Space Before: 0 pt

Comment [oe19]: To develop and other works

CD at 5%	NS	NS	6.41	0.08
Nitrogen sources				
100% N from urea (N1)	212.93	16.28	250.87	0.58
50% N from urea +50% N from FYM (N2)	214.88	16.50	250.50	0.56
50% N from urea + 50% N from Poultry manure (N3)	216.93	16.65	254.77	0.64
SEm±	2.29	0.28	2.01	0.029
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS

UNDER PEER REVIEW

UNDER PEER REVIEW



CONCLUSION

Moisture regimes 1.2 IW/CPE ratio was found best for viz., p^H , EC and organic carbon, Available Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium & Zinc and among the Nitrogen level, application of 50% N from urea + 50% N from Poultry manure (N_3) was found suitable for soil fertility status followed by 50% N from urea + 50% N from FYM (N_2).

Comment [oe20]: Add perspectives

References

Debaeke, P., & Aboudrare, A. (2004). Adaptation of crop management to water-limited environments. *European Journal of Agronomy*, **21**(4), 433-446.

- Fazily, T., & Hunshal, C. S. (2019). Effect of organic manures on yield and economics of late sown wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *International journal of research & review*, **6**(1), 168-171.
- Jat, R. A., Wani, S. P., Sahrawat, K. L., Singh, P., & Dhaka, P. L. (2011). Fertigation in vegetable crops for higher productivity and resource use efficiency. *Indian Journal of fertilizers*, **7**(3), 22-37.
- Kumar, P. Pannu, R.K., and Khokhar, S.K 2012. Effect of organic sources of nutrition and irrigation levels on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) *International Journal of Life Sciences Biotechnology and Pharma Research* **1** (4): 178-186
- Kumar, S., Agrawal, S., Jilani, N., Kole, P., Kaur, G., Mishra, Mishra, A., Gupta, S., Singh, A.P., & Tiwari, H. (2023). Effect of integrated nutrient management practices on growth and productivity of rice: A review. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. **12**(5): 2648-2662
- McCauley, A., Jones, C., & Jacobsen, J. (2009). Soil pH and organic matter. Nutrient management module, **8**(2), 1-12.
- Saha, S., Saha, B., Seth, T., Dasgupta, S., Ray, M., Pal, B..., & Hazra, G. (2019). Micronutrients availability in soil–plant system in response to long-term integrated nutrient management under rice–wheat cropping system. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, **19**,712-724.
- Singh, T. B., Ali, A., Prasad, M., Yadav, A., Shrivastav, P., Goyal, D., & Dantu, P. K. (2020). Role of organic fertilizers in improving soil fertility. Contaminants in agriculture: sources, impacts and management, 61-77.
- Singh, V., Singh, S. P., Singh, S., & Shivay, Y. S. (2013). Growth, yield and nutrient uptake by wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) as affected by biofertilizers, FYM and nitrogen. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **83**(3), 331-334.
- Verma, H.P., Sharma O.P., Yadav L.R., Yadav S.S., Shivran A.C., Kumar R., and Balwan 2018 Growth Indices and Yield of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) As Influenced by Irrigation Scheduling and Organic Manures. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*; **7**(1): 908-912