

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_104735
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of different genotypes of quinoa (<i>Chenopodium quinoa</i> Willd.) for yield and yield contributing parameters
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Title: The title is informative ▪ Abstract: The abstract is adequately addressed ▪ Introduction: The introduction is concise, and clear) ▪ Materials and Methods: The Methods section is currently addressed. ▪ Results: The results are clearly presented. ▪ Discussion: The discussion is well written and adequately addressed. ▪ The manuscript is well written. The purpose, methods and results are clearly presented. • Table: The title should be more informative. It is vital and quite well. • Table is significant and well-presented. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • English has been used to produce scientific papers that discuss tense choice, clarity, preciseness, style, assortment of sentence, diction, and other features of language utilised in scientific writing. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This is well and acceptable, and it contributes to the International Journal of Plant & Soil Science in a valuable way. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Bakiyaraj
Department, University & Country	India