

RESPONSE OF NUTRIENT MENAGEMENT ON GROWTH, YIELD AND QUALITY OF ONION (*Allium cepa* L.)

Comment [AS1]: BY

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted on the Horticulture Research farm of the RBS College, Bichpuri, Agra during the rabi season of 2016-18. In this experiment eight treatments were applied with three replications and laid out in randomized block design (RBD). Treatments were (T1) Recommended dose of fertilizer (T2) 100% RDF + Azotobacter, (T3) 100% RDF + FYM, (T4) 100% RDF + Azotobacter + FYM, (T5) 80% RDF + Azotobacter + FYM, (T6) 80% RDF + FYM, (T7) 80% RDF + Azotobacter and (T8) control. The application of 100%RDF +Azotobacter + FYM (T4) significantly increased in all growth, yield and quality parameters giving the highest bulb yield of 33.95 t/ha.

Comment [AS2]: bold

Comment [AS3]: Capital

Comment [AS4]: italic

Comment [AS5]: tested

Comment [AS6]: delete

Comment [AS7]: fertilizer (RDF),

Comment [AS8]: delete

Comment [AS9]: and gave

Key words: Nutrient management, Azotobacter, FYM, yield and quality, onion (*Allium cepa* L.).

INTRODUCTION

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) is most important commercial vegetable and spice crop cultivated extensively in India. The primary center of origin is Central Asia (Vavilove 1951). It belongs to Amaryllidaceae family having $2n = 16$ chromosome number, biennial herb type plant with tabular leaves and bulbs are formed by the attachment of swollen leaf bottom to underground part of stem which is small and rudimentary. Its flower type is 'umbel' which have many small flowers. Onion is cross pollinated crop. Onion has many medicinal properties like, anti-flatulence and cure dysentery, reducing cholesterol levels, it may help in reducing high blood pressure and also useful to prevent hair fall. India is second largest producer of the world. The randomly use of chemicals results in bad soil health, erosion loss of organic matter, nitrate pollution and also health hazard from human beings. The bio- fertilizers are alternative source to meet the requirement of crops and to bridge the further gaps and also get the sustainable production and productivity as well as quality. Azotobacter is an inorganic fertilizer and it is free living, aerobic nitrogen fixing, non-symbiotic bacteria. It fixes about 20 kg/ha atmospheric nitrogen in the roots of the many crops like vegetables, spices and condiments, besides the pulses and enhance the germination. FYM is basically made by decomposition of animal dung, urine other dairy waste, dry leaves and straw waste. Farm yard Mnnure have all macro and

Comment [AS10]: coma after this

Comment [AS11]: comma after this

Comment [AS12]: This

Comment [AS13]: delete

Comment [AS14]: fixing and

Comment [AS15]: Delete

Comment [AS16]: Check it

micro nutrients having 0.5 % N, 0.2% P₂O₅ 0.5%K₂O. To reducing uses of chemical fertilizer in the soil, to save soil health and promote crop quality, longevity of soil, FYM is very affective. FYM less expensive than chemical fertilizers. Just few researcher namely Banjare *et. al.* (2015) and Talwar Dilpreet *et. al.* (2016) studied in this regard to find out the effect of nutrient management with bio-fertilizers on the onion crop. However, till now no systematic approaches are available so far made to utilize the agro-ecological condition of Gangatic plains of Uttar Pradesh and small information is available about the organic cultivation of this crop in the country. Therefore, it was considered worthwhile to carry out the present investigation on the growth yield and quality of onion cv. – Agree found light red under Gangatic alluvial soil condition of Uttar Pradesh.

METHODS AND METIERALS

The present investigation was under taken during the rabi season of year 2016-18 for study the response of different combinations of bio- fertilizer , chemical and FYM (Azotobacter + NPK + FYM) on the vegetative growth, and quality character of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Experiment was conducted at the Agricultural Research farm of Raja Balwant Singh college Bichpuri, Agra (U.P) The soil of the experimental field was Gangatic with calcareous layer at the depth of about 1.0 – 1.5 meters, it was slightly alkaline in reaction pH 7.84 and well drained. Weather conditions of Agra was semi-arid sub-tropical climate with hot dry summer and hardy cold winters. Temperature falls at about 1-2°C in winter and increased about maximum at 45-47°C. In summer. The treatments were (T1) Recommended dose of fertilizer (T2) 100% RDF + Azotobacter (T3) 100% RDF + FYM, (T4) 100% RDF + Azotobacter + FYM , (T5) 80% RDF + Azotobacter + FYM,

Comment [AS17]: Delete

Comment [AS18]: Delete

Comment [AS19]: Check

Comment [AS20]:

Comment [AS21]: 2016-17 and 2017-18

Comment [AS22]: by

Comment [AS23]: Capital

Comment [AS24]: Fertilizer (RDF)

(T6) 80% RDF + FYM, (T7) 80% RDF + Azotobacter and (T8) control. The eight treatments were replicated three times in randomized block design in 1.60m x 1.20 size plots. The Recommended dose of Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potash were applied at the time of transplanting. The half dose of nitrogen was applied as basal and in two part after 30 day transplanting and 60 day after transplanting. farm yard manure was applied 15 days before transplanting and the bio-fertilizer (Azotobacter) was applied as seedling dipping treatment in Azotobacter and water solution @2kg/ha. All the required cultural operations along with the irrigation was done as per requirement of the crop. The all growth studies recorded at first 15 DAT and all 30 DAT interval. The bulb was harvested at the mature stage.

Soil status is incomplete means not given the Available NPK in soils, also give the other recommended practices followed and procedure of observations recorded.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The pooled (2016-18) data presented in table and figure shows that maximum length of plant (23.59, 39.98, 50.14 and 23.34cm) at 30, 60, 90, DAT and at harvest were found to be significantly higher in T4 (100% RDF + Azotobacter + FYM), which was followed by T3 (100% RDF + FYM) and T5 (80 % RDF + Azotobacter + FYM), the lower height of plant was observed in T8 (control). Present finding is in agreement with the finding of Jawadagi *et. al.* (2012).

Number of green leaves at 30, 60, 90, DAT and at harvest were found to be significantly maximum in T4 (100% RDF + Azotobacter + FYM), which was closely followed by T3 (100% RDF + FYM) and the lowest number of green leaves per plant was observed in T8. The possible reason for increased number of green leaves per plant may be due to the improvement in growth related attributes because of certain promoting substances secreted by bio-fertilizers and better uptake of water.

Leaf length is one of the important characters for determining crop vigour and yield. In the present study all the inorganic + bio-fertilizer + organic manure combination treatments were significantly superior to over in respective to length of longest leaf in this crop season. The maximum length of longest leaf at 30, 60, 90, DAT and at harvest were found to be significantly higher in T4 (100% RDF +Azotobacter + FYM) which was followed by T3. (100% RDF + FYM) and T5 (80% RDF +Azotobacter + FYM) whereas, the lowest

Comment [AS25]: 1.20m

Comment [AS26]: Small

Comment [AS27]: Small

Comment [AS28]: Small

Comment [AS29]: potassium

Comment [AS30]: delete

Comment [AS31]: days

Comment [AS32]: transplanting (DAT)

Comment [AS33]: at

Comment [AS34]: 15 and 30 DAT

Comment [AS35]: delete

Comment [AS36]: data of length of plant

Comment [AS37]: was

Comment [AS38]: delete

Comment [AS39]: DAT, respectively

Comment [AS40]: Significant or non-significant

Comment [AS41]: These findings are

Comment [AS42]: findings

Comment [AS43]: that

Comment [AS44]: other

Comment [AS45]: comma before this

Comment [AS46]: minimum

length of longest leaf was recorded in control (T8) in this respect. Meena *et. al.* (2015).

Comment [AS47]: leaf length

Comment [AS48]: delete

Comment [AS49]: Similar results were observed by Meena *et al.*(2015).

UNDER PEER REVIEW

The width of longest leaf at 30, 60, 90, DAT and harvest were found to be significantly higher in (T4) 100% recommended dose of fertilizer with the organic manure/ substance and bio-fertilize. Increases in leaf area with optimum level of chemical fertilizer with organic manures could be due to good growth of plant which enhanced by the production of bio active substance having similar effects as that the growth regulator besides nitrogen fixation through bacterial fertilizers. Singh *et. al.* (2015)

Comment [AS50]: ?

Comment [AS51]: that

Comment [AS52]: Not proper so give proper manner

Comment [AS53]: The above parts

Considerable variation in fresh weight of top with T4 (100% RDF +Azotobacter + FYM) might be attributed due to the improvement in overall growth and development of plants by application of 100% RDF + Azotobacter + FYM finely led to higher (45.34gm) fresh weight of top and minimum (38.31gm) fresh weight was also recorded in control (T8). This enabled the plant to draw more nutrients from soil for proper growth and development Talwar *et. al.* (2012).

Comment [AS54]: as

Comment [AS55]: lower

Comment [AS56]: development as stated by

Comment [AS57]: no full stop after this

Comment [AS58]: Capital

Significantly higher bulb diameter was recorded under t4 (100% RDF + Azotobacter + FYM) and minimum was recorded in control (T8). Brinjh *et. al.* (2014).

Comment [AS59]: lower

Comment [AS60]: found

Comment [AS61]: Not proper method give reference

Comment [AS62]: delete

Average bulb weight was also recorded maximum in the bulbs receiving 100% RDF +Azotobacter + FYM (T4) which was followed by 100% RDF + FYM (T3). The increases in the bulb weight could be due to the increased uptake of nutrients and buildup of sufficient photosynthesis enabling the increases in size of bulbs ultimately resulting in the increased averaged bulb weight. These results are in confirmation with the finding of Yogita *et. al.* (2012).

Comment [AS63]: Delete

Comment [AS64]: noted

The maximum specific gravity of bulb (1.626) was recorded in the treatment T4 (100% RDF + Azotobacter + FYM) followed by T5 (80%RDF + Azotobacter + FYM) (1.107) and minimum (0.89) specific gravity was also recorded in T7(100% RDF + Azotobacter). Bhagwat *et. al.* (2016)

Comment [AS65]: observed

Comment [AS66]: Wrong method

The main and important objective of any production is to have maximum crop yield for better returns. The data regarding bulb yield per plot (kg) and per hectare (q) reveals a significant difference among the different treatments. The maximum bulb yield per net plot

Comment [AS67]: delete

and per hectare (5.17 kg and 339.58q respectively) was recorded in T4 (100% RDF +Azotobacter + FYM) which was statistically at par with T3 and T5 and minimum was noticed in T8. These increases may be due to more number of bulbs per plot, bulb size and average weight of bulb. Use of Azotobacter and FYM, not only makes the atmospheric N respectively to plants, but also enhances the plant growth and bulb yield to release hormones, vitamins and nutrient. Similar findings were also reported by Talwar *et. al.* (2012).

Comment [AS68]: yield/plot and hectare

Comment [AS69]: Comma before this

Comment [AS70]: obtained

Comment [AS71]: that

Comment [AS72]: The increase in yield

Comment [AS73]: available

Comment [AS74]: Delete

Comment [AS75]: Delete comma

CONCLUSION

Comment [AS76]: Not given

REFERENCES

Brinjh S, Kumar S.Kumar D and Kumar M. (2014) Effect of integrated nutrient management on the growth, yield and quality in onion cv. Pusa Madhavi. *Plant Archives* **14** (1): 557-59

Comment [AS77]: Brinjh, S., Kumar, S., Kumar D and Kumar, M.

Bhagwat KP; shinde KG; Bhalekar MN and Yadav DB (2016) Effect of Organic Manure, Inorganic Fertilizers and Biofertilizers on Growth and Yield Attributes in Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) **13**(1) 13-15.

Comment [AS78]: Bhagwat, K.P.; Shinde, K.G.; Bhalekar, M.N. and Yadav, D.B.

Banjare, C., Shukla, N., Sharma, P.K., Patanwar, M, and Chandravanshi, D. (2015). Effect of organic substances on yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *International Journal of Farm Sciences*, **5**(1): 30-35.

Comment [AS79]: Not italic

Dilpreet Talwar (2016) Influence of biofertilizers on growth, yield, quality and nutrient uptake in onion (*Allium cepa* L.) *Indian Journal of Ecology* **43**(1):124-27.

Comment [AS80]: Dilpreet,

Comment [AS81]: Italic

Meena A K; Paliwal R and Meena K K. (2015). Effect of organic manures and bio-fertilizers on growth and quality attributes of kharif onion (*Allium cepa* L.) in semi-arid region. *Indian Research Journal of Genetics and Biotechnology* **7**(1): 73-76.

Comment [AS82]: Meena, A. K.; Paliwal, R. and Meena, K. K.

Comment [AS83]: Italic

Jawadagi RS., Basavaraj N., Patil BN and Hemlta Naik B (2012) Effect of different sources of nutrients on growth, yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L) Cv. Bellary red. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science*. July **25**(2)

Comment [AS84]: Jawadagi, R.S., Basavaraj, N., Patil, B.N. and Hemlta Naik, B.

Comment [AS85]: 25(2): Pages

Singh, A., Ram, R.B. and Meena, M.L. (2015). Efficacy of different sources of nutrients and bio-fertilizers on growth yield and quality of onion. *International Research Journal of Natural and Applied Sciences*. **2**(10): 64-70.

Talwar, P; Gupta, N.K. and Dubey, S. (2012). Effect of organic, inorganic and biofertilizers on the growth and productivity of garlic (*Allium sativum* L) cv. G-323. *Crop Research*, **43 (1,2 & 3)**: 89-97.

Comment [AS86]: Talwar, P., Gupta, N.K. and Dubey, S.

Comment [AS87]: Check in all cases use comma or full stop

Vavilov N I. (1951). *Origin, variation, immunity and breeding of cultivated plants. Journal of Chronical Botany* **13 (1/6)**: 1-364.

Comment [AS88]: Vavilov, N. I.

Comment [AS89]: After this comma or full stop in all the cases

Yogita and Ram RB (2012) Effect of Chemical and Bio-fertilizers on Quality of onion. (*Allium sativum* L). *Hort Flora Research Spectrum*, **1 (4)**: 367-70

Comment [AS90]: Check the pages

Comment [AS91]: Yogita and Ram ,RB.

Comment [AS92]: Comma or full stop

Table 1: Effect of Nutrient Management on the vegetative growth of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.)

Comment [AS93]: Small

Comment [AS94]: Small

Comment [AS95]: On growth attributed of onion

Comment [AS96]: Small

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	No. of leaves /plant	Length Of leaf	Width of leaf (cm)	Diameter of pseudo-stem(cm) (cm)	Weight. of tops (gm)
T ₁	43.53	6.55	38.23	1.51	1.43	41.73
T ₂	44.31	6.66	39.66	1.65	1.30	41.85
T ₃	47.85	7.66	42.46	1.88	1.53	43.65
T ₄	50.14	8.65	45.53	2.00	1.76	45.34
T ₅	46.25	7.55	41.10	1.82	1.33	42.56
T ₆	44.53	7.22	40.36	1.73	1.30	42.18
T ₇	41.3	6.11	37.25	1.44	1.30	41.34
T ₈	39.52	5.88	33.96	1.28	1.20	38.31
S.Em±	0.656	0.193	0.330	0.051	0.708	0.714
CD(P=0.05)	5.97	0.588	1.00	0.155	0.214	2.16

Give here T1 to T8

Table 2: Effect of Nutrient Management on the growth and quality of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.)

Treatment	Bulb Diameter (cm)	Weight of bulb (gm)	Bulb yield qt/ha	Specific gravity
T ₁	4.05	68.32	222.67	1.08
T ₂	4.21	71.57	250.93	1.04
T ₃	4.93	82.05	301.48	1.05
T ₄	5.21	84.32	339.58	1.62
T ₅	4.96	80.13	282.43	1.10
T ₆	4.47	77.13	268.64	1.04
T ₇	4.60	66.68	218.72	0.89
T ₈	4.04	63.40	193.13	0.919
S.Em±	0.246	1.27	30.85	0.105
CD(=0.50)	0.747	3.88	93.59	0.319

Treatments as the table 1

Comment [AS97]: Small

Comment [AS98]: Small

Comment [AS99]: Yield attributes

Comment [AS100]: Small

Comment [AS101]: Delete




Fig. 1: Effect of Nutrient Management On the vegetative growth of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.)

- Comment [AS102]: Small
- Comment [AS103]: Small
- Comment [AS104]: Small
- Comment [AS105]: Small
- Comment [AS106]: Delete




Fig. 2: Effect of Nutrient Management On the growth and quality of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.)

- Comment [AS107]: Modify as the figure 1

UNDER PEER REVIEW

