

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_104578</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Ferti-Fortification of Iron and Zinc Fertilization on Quality Parameters of Mango Cv. Kesar</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>It is well versed paper. It should be accepted after minor revision.</p> <p><b>Some suggestions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add take home message at the end of abstract</li> <li>• Add values in the abstract</li> <li>• Add clear aim and objectives</li> <li>• Add hypothesis</li> <li>• Add justification of work or problem statement</li> <li>• Add new references in introduction part</li> <li>• Explain more the protocol of experiment so that one can repeat this type of work</li> <li>• Add references in materials and methods</li> <li>• Results should be explained in the light of previous work on this topic</li> <li>• The discussion section should be much improved</li> <li>• Contributing factor and health risk assessment should be added the methods in the methodology parts</li> <li>• Intensive discussions with referencing to other studies are needed</li> <li>• The conclusion should be concise</li> <li>• Give logical reasons of your findings</li> <li>• Add recent citations in discussion part</li> <li>• Conclude your findings and give recommendations</li> <li>• Write down implications of your study</li> </ul> <p>In my opinion this paper should be accepted after incorporating above suggestions in the paper. Overall paper should be accepted after minor revision.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zafar Iqbal Khan
Department, University & Country	University of Sargodha, Pakistan