

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_104128
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF WEEVIL INFESTATION ON MAIZE SEED QUALITY AT DIFFERENT STORAGE PERIODS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The author compared poultry feed with the seeds of different company, poultry feed mainly contained the discarded maize grains after grading. This is not justifiable for this research also it is not clear in the manuscript that the collected samples were from six months, one year and two-year storage duration or 6-18 months storage duration. Results for Sharanya Poultry feed Karimnagar, Venkatesh, Poultry feed Karimnagar, Srinivasa Poultry feed Nizamabad, Sanjeev reddy Poultry feed Nizamabad, Sri Rama Poultry feed Ranga reddy must be deleted from manuscript.</p> <p>Abstract needs revision Scientifically not correct</p> <p>References are lacking for some of the parameters</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>suitable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Rewrite the result only for seed not for poultry feed, Statistical analysis must done only for seed not for Sharanya Poultry feed Karimnagar, Venkatesh, Poultry feed Karimnagar, Srinivasa Poultry feed Nizamabad, Sanjeev reddy Poultry feed Nizamabad, Sri Rama Poultry feed Ranga reddy</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lekha
Department, University & Country	Agriculture University, India