

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_105406
Title of the Manuscript:	Primary Hydatid Cyst Of The Breast And Mini Review
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Cyst hydatid disease of the breast is also uncommon as in thyroid, kidney, brain etc..</p> <p>2. Title is suitable.</p> <p>3. Abstract is sufficient.</p> <p>4. Structure of the manuscript is logical.</p> <p>5. The format is scientifically appropriate. References are not sufficient. Pubmed query reveals more than hundred references. Some have to be mentioned and criticised to complete literature review. (PMC10230583, PMID: 16103606, PMID: 23316240,etc)</p> <p>6. The serological test -if it had available should also be mentioned.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. But some corrections have to be done.</p> <p>*" upper quadrant of the breast since one years"</p> <p>*" CT and MRI plays" may be "CT or MRI play" or "CT or MRI play.."</p> <p>*" immuno electrophoresis" as "immuno-electrophoresis" or "immune electrophoresis"</p> <p>*" Sagin etal" as "Sagin et al"</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Celal Ulasoglu
Department, University & Country	Okan University, Turkey