

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_105201
Title of the Manuscript:	Primary Splenic Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma with Massive Splenomegaly
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript "Primary Splenic Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma with Massive Splenomegaly" may be rather interesting to the scientific community. Primary malignancies of the spleen are very rare, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma of the spleen is a rare primary neoplasm. Lymphoma is a type of blood cancer that develops from white blood cells called lymphocytes grow out of control. There are two types of lymphocytes.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable. I shall not propose any other one.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. I have some questions to the author of the manuscript. What should be the subsequent tactics of the monitoring of the patient after the operation? Are there any more pathognomonic clinical or laboratory signs of malignant pathology of the spleen?</p> <p>5. I think, that the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent. The manuscript is illustrated with 8 Figures.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript "Primary Splenic Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma with Massive Splenomegaly" may be published in the "Asian Journal of Research in Surgery".</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lesia Ostapiuk
Department, University & Country	Pulmonary Health Center, Ukraine