

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_105655
Title of the Manuscript:	COMMON PREVALENT ISSUES DURING PEDIATRIC ANESTHESIA: A LITERATURE REVIEW
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Pediatric anesthesia has evolved as subspeciality because childrens are totally different in anatomy, physiology, pharmacology and in psychology as compared to adults. To provide better anesthesia and perioperative care to these small childrens, these type of manuscripts should be published to provide knowledge and share experiences.</p> <p>COMMON PERIOPERATIVE CONCERNS IN PEDIATRIC ANESTHESIA: LITRATURE REVIEW</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>REFERENCES ARE SUFFICIENT</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>LANGUAGE/ENGLISH QUALITY, CAN BE IMPROVED FOR SCHOLARLY COMMUNICATIONS</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>THE CONCEPT OF MANUSCRIPT IS GOOD.</p> <p>LANGUAGE/ ENGLISH QUALITY, CAN BE IMPROVED FOR SCHOLARLY COMMUNICATIONS</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Meena Singh
Department, University & Country	Nscb Medical College, India