

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_97430
Title of the Manuscript:	PARENTAL INFLUENCES ON ACADEMICS IN THE ERA OF COVID-19
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Method: Suggest methodological support. Specify what type of study was carried out (quantitative, qualitative, descriptive, among others). Determine in which population it was carried out Specify what the sampling criteria sample Sample Size Planning for Statistical Power and Accuracy in Parameter Estimation The inclusion criteria in the sample Specify who built the instrument and its validity methods and how it was applied. Specify whether the questions in the questionnaire were open ended or it was an in-depth interview. The results They are very confusing, they are not solid, they are too descriptive and empirical, since it says "the majority", the discussion of them is not supported by references, the results are not supported by statistical tests Determine which were the indicators to classify families in low, medium and high income The conclusions are very general and need to be supported with bibliographical references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	María Esther Urrutia Aguilar
Department, University & Country	Ciudad Universitaria, México