

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_105437</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Exploring Morphological Characteristics, Yield Potential, and Essential Oil Composition of Ocimum sanctum for its Applications</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5.</p> <p>6. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>7. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>Title is suitable</p> <p>Yes, errors require to be fixed as indicated in the document.</p> <p>Manuscript structure is ok.</p> <p>No. The materials and methods section lacks the following aspects: Wrong experimental design used. The design wrongly placed under statistical analysis; no mention of the treatments, the number and the number of replications. The article requires major revision.</p> <p>Authors are required to subject the document to spell check. There are sentences without full stops. Authors have sentences that are not completed. There is no consistency in terms of spacing between units. Font type on tables varies. No consistency on references, elsewhere journal names are abbreviated, whilst others are in full.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>No, the manuscript should be send for English editing.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Requires major revision prior to publication.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kgabo Martha Pofu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Limpopo, South Africa</b>