

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_105437
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring Morphological Characteristics, Yield Potential, and Essential Oil Composition of Ocimum sanctum for its Applications
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The results of this study have certain significance for the breeding of <i>Ocimum sanctum</i> variety.</p> <p>2. The title is not very accurate. The title needs to be changed to better reflect the main text.</p> <p>3. The abstract does not clearly state the topic of the article and needs to be revised.</p> <p>4. The manuscript structure is complete and the language of this article is smooth, but there are some grammatical errors and long expressions. Advice: First, it is recommended to be more concise in sentence structure and to avoid excessive clauses and passive voices. Second, consider using a small number of charts, images, or tables to present the results more visually. In addition, when using technical terms, explain them as much as possible. Finally, it is recommended to describe the experimental concepts and steps more clearly so that the reader can better understand the topic.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. Reference citations are appropriate, but there can be many formatting errors that need to be carefully corrected by referring to the journal reference template.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Necessary polishing of the language/English quality of the article is required.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Genshen Yin
Department, University & Country	School of Agriculture & Life Sciences, Kunming University, China