

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_105382
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of organic manures and Nano Zinc on growth and yield of baby corn (Zea mays L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, Its relevant at present situation Yes.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Sufficient & Recent</p> <p>Need to add more references</p> <p>Some minor mistakes like typographic, spelling, grammatical and technical highlighted in RED ink should be corrected before acceptance.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Suitable</p>	

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The language used in the article should be scientific and technical</p> <p>The research article is relevant. Except some minor mistakes, the article is good to accept.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramakant P Gajbhiye
Department, University & Country	Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, India