

Original Research Article

Standardisation for Preparation and Storage Stability of Papaya (*Carica papaya*) Candy

ABSTRACT

The lab experiment was conducted in the year 2021-2022 at Post Harvest Lab, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, in order to Standardisation for preparation and Storage Stability of Papaya Candy (*Carica papaya*) to evaluate the physico-chemical properties and consumers acceptability of different treatments Quality Characters. From the above experiment T₇(1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75° B + Ginger extract@5%) showed better results in combination. Total soluble solids (T.S.S.), Total titratable acidity, Ascorbic acid content, Reducing sugar, non-reducing sugar, Total sugar, sensory evaluation of candy, Colour, Texture, Flavour, Taste, Overall acceptability whereas minimum was observed in T₀(1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75° B +Control).

Keywords: Papaya, Analysis of variance, Mean performance, Quality Characters, Sensory evaluation of candy.

1. INTRODUCTION

The papaya (*Carica papaya* Linn.) belongs to the family Caricaceae is an important tablefruit of tropical world which gives higher production of fruits per hectare next to banana. The production of papaya in India is about 59.51 lakh MT from 1.44 lakh hectares having productivity 41.3 MT/ha. (Anon, 2021). Papaya also seems to have antibacterial, antifungal, anti-viral, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, and immune-stimulating effects. In addition, papaya is a source of the digestive enzyme papain, which is used as an industrial ingredient in brewing, meat tenderizing, pharmaceuticals, beauty products, and cosmetics.

Papaya is considered one of the most beneficial fruits as a good source of nutrients, fiber, and proteolytic enzymes. Its consumption has been attributed to aid digestion. Previous researchers focused their study on papain activity from the latex of the unripe fruit or other parts of the plant, and also in the quantification of

papain present in the pulp (Mezhlumyan *et al.*, 2003; Tripathi *et al.*, 2011). Packaging and handling systems have been developed in many countries to move products from farm to consumer expeditiously in order to minimize quality degradation. Procedures include lowering temperature to slow respiration and senescence, maintaining optimal relative humidity to reduce water loss without accelerating decay, adding chemical preservatives to reduce physiological and microbial losses, and maintaining an optimal gaseous environment to slow respiration and senescence (Azene *et al.*, 2014).

After ripening, the papaya fruit softens immediately leading to transportation losses up to 40 per cent, therefore fruit requires conversion into processed products, to ensure extended availability throughout the year (Rajarithnam, 2010). However, due to inadequate facilities for post harvest handling, storage, processing and preservation, still the post-harvest

losses are reported to be around 30%. Hence there is a need to take up scientific handling and post harvest management including processing to reduce the losses. But, perishable nature and flat odor of papaya limits its commercial processing, though there are various value-added products viz. jam, jelly, beverages, dried products, etc. Further, osmotic drying help to improve some nutritional, organoleptic and functional properties of the fruit and

vegetables (Sutar and Sutar, 2013). Enzymatic and oxidative browning is prevented as the fruit's pieces are surrounded by sugar, thus making it possible to retain colour (Chaudhari et al., 1993).

After harvest, fruits and vegetables degrade because they are living and organic things. Depending on the products' overall metabolic rate, the rate of deterioration differs widely among them, but for many it can be quite fast. The pace of post-harvest deterioration, for instance, has little impact in marketing chains where fruit is moved quickly from the farm to the consumer. However, the distance between farm and market is growing as production areas are farther away from population centers. By extending the marketing periods into fewer windows of time, the intentional storage of some produce to increase return on investment prolongs the time between farm and end consumer. Keeping the above points, the present investigation was undertaken with the objective to study the shelf life of products and to find out the best product based on sensory test of papaya candy.

Statistical analysis:

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for all the treatments in Completely Randomized

Design (CRD) was carried out. The significant and non-significant of the treatment effect was judged with the help of 'F' variance ratio test. Calculated 'F' value was compared with the table value of 'F' at 5% level of significance. If the calculated value exceeds the table value, the effect was considered to be significant. The significant difference between the means were tested against the critical difference at 5% level of significance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental was carried out to study the "Standardisation for preparation and storage stability of papaya candy (*Carica papaya*)" in the post-Harvest lab, Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology & Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India, during 2021-2022. The area is situated on the south of Prayagraj on the right bank of Yamuna at Rewa road at a distance of about 6 km from Prayagraj city. It is situated at the 25.08°N Latitude and 81.50°E meters from sea level. Prayagraj has a sub-tropical climatic with uttermost in summer (in the month of May and June) with temperate reaching around 115°F with hot blazing winds and in winter (December and January the temperature falls down as low as 32°F. The average rainfall is around 1013.4 (mm) annually with maximum concentration during July to September with occasional showers in winter.

The Experiment was laid out in Completely randomized design (CRD) with 9 Treatments and 3 Replications. Observation to be recorded at 0,30,60,90(Days)

Table.no. 1.Treatment combinations

Treatment	Combination
-----------	-------------

T₀	1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75° B + Control
T₁	1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75° B + Common salt@2%
T₂	1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75° B + Calciumchloride@1%
T₃	1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75° B + Potassiummetabisulphite@0.25%
T₄	1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75° B + Citricacid @0.2%
T₅	1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75° B + Betelleaves extract @5%
T₆	1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75° B + Tulsi extract@5%
T₇	1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75° B + Ginger extract@5%
T₈	1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75° B + Spices extract@5%
T₉	1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75° B + Roseextract@5%

Fruit uniform in size, free from diseases and pests were selected. They were sorted based on maturity and washed thoroughly under running tap water and air dried to remove adhering water. The papaya candy packed in plastic bags was kept at ambient temperature and evaluated at 0 to 90 DAS (days of storage). First observation was recorded immediately after preparation of papaya candy and other readings were recorded after every 30 days interval. The last observation was recorded on 90 DAS (days of storage).

The following parameters were measured and evaluated in this study.

1. Quality Characters

- i. TSS (°Brix)
- ii. Acidity (%)
- iii. Ascorbic acid (Vitamin-C)
- iv. Reducing sugar
- v. Non- Reducing sugar
- vi. Total sugar
- vii. Storage stability

2. Sensory Tests

- i. Colour and appearance
- ii. Texture
- iii. Flavor
- iv. Taste
- v. Overall acceptability

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Papaya candy was stored for 3 months and observations were recorded at the interval of 30 days on various physico-chemical and organoleptic parameters. Data were analyzed statistically and results were

presented and discussed in the light of research work done in India.

QUALITY CHARACTERS:

Total soluble solids: TSS has shown an increasing trend during storage and maximum TSS was recorded 80.33 in T₇ (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75°B + Ginger extract @5%) and minimum 77.66⁰ Brix in T₀ (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75°B + Control) that the processing employed for preparation of candy has forced out the water content to give place to the soluble solids in terms of sugar, salt, lime and other soluble ingredients present in the various pre- treatment. Similar results were given by **Bhat et al. (1982)** with the aonla candy also showed increasing TSS content of the fruit, **Ahmad and Tariq (2004)**, **Manivasagan et al. (2006)** and **Mall and Tandon (2007)**.

Total titrable acidity: Acidity has shown an increasing trend during storage and maximum Acidity was recorded 0.113% in T₇ (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75°B + Ginger extract @5%) and minimum 0.104% in T₀ (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75°B + Control).

Treatment showed the increasing trend in acidity during storage period. This type of trend in the acid content could be mainly due to formation of sulphureous acid during storage. Similar finding has been reported by **Gupta and Dhawan (1996)**, **Singh and Kumar (1997)**, **Maciel et al. (1999)**.

Ascorbic acid content: Ascorbic acid has shown decreasing trend during storage and maximum Ascorbic acid was recorded 3.10 mg/100gm in T₇ (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75oB + Ginger extract @5%) and minimum is 2.82 mg/100g in T₀ (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75oB + Control). The processing of the fruits through various pre-treatments employed for preparation of candies resulted in remarkable loss of vitamin-'C' for a value of 18.21 mg/100 g fresh fruits.

Reducing Sugars:Reducing sugar has shown an increasing trend during storage and maximum Reducing sugar was recorded 54.27% in T₇ (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75oB + Ginger extract @5%) and minimum is 51.97% in T₀ (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75oB + Control).The increase in reducing sugar might be due to breakdown of polysaccharides into oligosaccharides and monosaccharides.

Non-reducing sugar:Non-reducing sugar has shown an increasing trend during storage and maximum Non-reducing sugar was recorded 29.68% in T₇ (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75oB + Ginger extract@5%) and minimum is 28.00% in T₀ (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75oB +Control).

Usually, high sugar content makes the moisture unavailable for the growth of microorganisms, thus improves the shelf life of food. Similar results were reported by **Daisy and Gehlot (2006)**

Total sugar:Total sugar has shown an increasing trend during storage and maximum Total sugar was recorded 83.95% in T₇ (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75oB + Ginger extract @5%) and minimum is 79.97% in T₀ (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75oB + Control).Similar results were reported by

Krishnaveni et al. (2001) in jack fruit RTS, **Jain et al. (2004)** in papaya cubes.

Storage Stability:The maximum Storage Stability was found 87 Days in T₇ (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75oB + Ginger extract@5%) followed by 85 days in T₆(1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75oB + Tulsi extract@5%) and minimum was found 78 Days in T₀(1Kg papaya slice + sugarsyrup @75oB + Control).

SENSORY TESTS:

Colour and appearance: Based on sensory test the colour and appearance has shown decreasing trend during storage and maximum score for colour and appearance was recorded 8.27 in T₇ (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75oB + Ginger extract @5%) and lowest is 6.80 in T₀ (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75oB + Control).Colour is an attribute of food quality and loss of colour by osmotic dehydration process is one of the most significant changes. Similar results were reported by **Heredia (2004)** and **Singh et al., (2012)**.

Texture:The texture has shown decreasing trend during storage and maximum score for texture was recorded 8.27 in T₇ (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75oB + Ginger extract @5%) and lowest is 7.00 in T₀ (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75oB + Control). This might be due to degradation of volatile substance and flavor constituents. Similar results were reported by **Ames (2003)** and **Chavan (2010)**.

Flavor: The flavor has shown decreasing trend during storage and maximum score for flavor was recorded 8.27 in T₇ (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75oB + Ginger extract @5%) and lowest is 7.50 in T₀ (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75oB + Control). Similar results were

reported by **Hasanuzzaman (2014)** in tomato candy and **Deepak Singh Rathore (2020)** in Ber candy.

Taste: The taste has shown decreasing trend during storage and maximum score for taste was recorded 8.27 in T7 (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75oB + Ginger extract @5%) and lowest is 7.33 in T0 (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75oB + Control).

Overall acceptability: Overall acceptability has shown decreasing trend during storage and maximum score for

overall acceptability was recorded 8.29 in T7 (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75oB + Ginger extract @5%) and lowest is 7.36 in T0 (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75oB + Control). The decrease in overall acceptability score may be due to absorption of atmospheric moisture, dilution of sugars and changes in acidity, oxidation of ascorbic acid as well as changes in biochemical constituents of candy. Similar results were reported by **Sharma (2013)** in apple candy.

Table no.2. Change in the Total Soluble Solids in ° Brix, Total Titrable acidity and Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) of papaya candies as affected by various treatments.

Treatments	TSS (° Brix)				Acidity (%)				Ascorbic acid (mg/100g)			
	Initial	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	Initial	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	Initial	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS
T ₀	75.66	75.66	76.66	77.66	0.082	0.082	0.091	0.104	3.44	3.23	3.04	2.82
T ₁	76	76.33	77.66	78	0.083	0.083	0.093	0.105	3.55	3.32	3.08	2.83
T ₂	76.33	77	78	78.33	0.087	0.088	0.095	0.111	3.61	3.34	3.12	2.84
T ₃	77.66	77.66	77.33	79.33	0.087	0.086	0.103	0.11	3.56	3.42	3.14	2.9
T ₄	76.66	77	77.66	78	0.086	0.089	0.097	0.111	3.64	3.47	3.1	2.99
T ₅	78	78	78.66	79	0.085	0.088	0.099	0.108	3.59	3.46	3.17	2.87
T ₆	78	78.66	79.33	80	0.087	0.089	0.097	0.112	3.8	3.61	3.25	3.07
T ₇	78	79.33	79.33	80.33	0.091	0.096	0.104	0.113	3.84	3.64	3.28	3.1
T ₈	76.66	77.33	78.33	79.33	0.086	0.088	0.1	0.106	3.62	3.39	3.14	2.85
T ₉	76.33	77	77.66	78.33	0.088	0.088	0.097	0.111	3.55	3.39	3.21	2.85
F value	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
S Em ±	0.42	0.59	0.49	0.55	0	0	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.06
CD 5%	1.27	1.78	1.47	1.66	0	0	0.01	0.01	0.22	0.23	0.14	0.19
CV	0.95	1.31	1.08	1.2	1.98	2.78	3.47	3.03	3.43	3.71	2.48	3.74

Table no. 3. Change in the Reducing Sugars, Non-Reducing Sugar, Total Sugar and Storage stability of papaya candies as affected by various treatments.

Treatments	Reducing Sugar (%)				Non-Reducing Sugar %				Total Sugar %				Shelf-Life (days)
	Initial	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	Initial	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	Initial	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	
T ₀	41.21	43.82	47.7	51.97	26.72	27.03	27.69	28	67.93	70.85	75.39	79.97	78
T ₁	41.74	44.28	48.28	53.13	27.06	27.36	27.96	28.32	68.8	71.64	76.24	81.45	79
T ₂	41.47	44.85	48.31	53.58	27.65	27.78	27.95	28.65	69.12	72.63	76.26	82.23	83
T ₃	41.63	44.72	48.45	53.69	27.93	27.96	28.06	28.92	69.56	72.68	76.51	82.61	81

T ₄	41.6	44.7	49.28	54.17	27.35	27.65	28.13	28.68	68.95	72.35	76.41	82.85	83
T ₅	41.75	44.76	48.69	54.02	27.61	27.94	28.12	29.13	69.36	72.7	76.81	83.15	80
T ₆	42.31	46.03	50.02	54.19	28.02	28.19	29.01	29.32	70.52	74.22	79.03	83.51	85
T ₇	42.36	46.08	50.06	54.27	28.17	28.65	29.06	29.68	70.53	74.73	79.12	83.95	87
T ₈	41.58	45.68	48.93	53.49	27.95	28	28.63	28.56	69.53	73.68	77.56	82.05	79
T ₉	41.33	44.64	48.89	53.29	27.56	28.06	28.45	28.55	68.89	72.7	77.34	81.84	83
F value	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	
S Em ±	0.12	0.36	0.29	0.26	0.7	0.16	0.14	0.13	0.38	0.48	0.41	0.59	
CD 5%	0.38	0.99	0.89	0.8	0.16	0.44	0.37	0.34	1.05	1.44	1.25	1.54	
CV	0.52	1.25	1.04	0.85	0.32	0.75	0.54	0.51	1.42	1	0.89	1.16	

Table no. 4. Change in the colour and appearance, Texture, Flavour of papaya candies as affected by various treatments.

Treatments	Colour				Texture				Flavour			
	Initial	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	Initial	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	Initial	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS
T ₀	8	7.6	7.05	6.8	7.5	7.4	7.06	7	7.8	7.73	7.63	7.5
T ₁	8.23	8.16	7.2	7.3	7.76	7.53	7.4	7.33	8.11	8.1	8.03	7.63
T ₂	8.2	8.19	7.36	7.6	8.1	7.73	7.7	7.53	8.19	8.1	8.09	7.73
T ₃	8.21	8.2	7.5	7.66	8.06	7.83	7.56	7.5	8.2	8.2	8.19	7.66
T ₄	8.22	8.21	7.53	7.6	8.11	7.96	7.73	7.63	8.22	8.21	8.2	7.83
T ₅	8.21	8.2	7.33	7.6	8.16	8.12	7.83	7.66	8.28	8.28	8.27	7.83
T ₆	8.27	8.25	8.1	8.2	8.22	8.21	8.19	8.16	8.29	8.29	8.28	8.21
T ₇	8.3	8.29	8.28	8.27	8.3	8.3	8.29	8.27	8.3	8.3	8.29	8.27
T ₈	8.22	8.22	7.8	7.86	8.19	8.1	7.96	7.73	8.24	8.23	8.22	8.2
T ₉	8.26	8.21	7.7	7.76	8.2	7.93	7.76	7.73	8.16	8.1	7.96	7.86
F value	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
S Em ±	0.25	0.21	0.2	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.12	0.1	0.19	0.17	0.15	0.11
CD 5%	0.68	0.6	0.57	0.48	0.47	0.45	0.3	0.28	0.51	0.46	0.38	0.28
CV	5.01	3.91	3.89	2.89	3.19	3.11	2.34	3.28	3.41	3.36	2.31	2.02

Table no. 5. Change in the Taste and Overall Acceptability of papaya candies as affected by various treatments.

Treatments	Taste				Overall Acceptability			
	Initial	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	Initial	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS
T ₀	7.73	7.66	7.6	7.33	7.63	7.53	7.46	7.36
T ₁	7.8	7.73	7.66	7.5	7.93	7.86	7.76	7.7
T ₂	7.8	7.76	7.63	7.56	8.13	8.11	8	7.8
T ₃	7.97	7.9	7.8	7.63	8.13	8.1	8.06	7.83
T ₄	8.03	8	7.93	7.9	8.16	8.15	8.13	7.96
T ₅	8	7.93	7.76	7.7	8.23	8.23	8.2	8.18
T ₆	8.26	8.25	8.19	8.12	8.27	8.27	8.26	8.24
T ₇	8.3	8.29	8.28	8.27	8.3	8.3	8.29	8.29
T ₈	8.24	8.2	8.12	8.11	8.24	8.23	8.22	8.2
T ₉	8.25	8.2	8.05	8	8.25	8.24	8.23	8.1
F value	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
S Em ±	0.17	0.15	0.14	0.12	0.19	0.16	0.15	0.13
CD 5%	0.49	0.42	0.38	0.33	0.57	0.47	0.43	0.37
CV	2.93	2.86	2.83	2.76	3.88	3.13	2.89	2.58



Fig.1 Cutting of papaya



Fig.2 Blanching of papaya slices



Fig. 3 Drying of papaya candy



Fig. 4 Papaya candy After Drying

CONCLUSION

Based on present investigation, it is concluded that treatment T₇ (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup@75%B + Ginger extract @5%) was best in terms of best recipe with value addition for preparation of papaya candy. The same treatment T₇ (1Kg papaya slice + sugar syrup @75%B + Ginger extract@5%) was again found best in terms of Quality, Storage stability and maximum B:C ratio.

REFERENCES

- Anjana Palaria, Pragya Thapaa, Bishal Thagunnab, Rakesh Kusmac, Jaspreet Kaur** 2022. Preparation and Quality Evaluation of Underutilized Unripe Papaya Candy. *Malaysian Journal of Halal Research Journal*, VOLUME 5, ISSUE 2
- Chaudhari, A.P., Kumbhar, B.K., Singh, B.P.N. and Narain, Maharaj** 1993. Osmotic dehydration of fruits and vegetables. A review. *Indian Fruit Industry*. 12(1): 20-27
- Mezhlumyan LG, Kasymova TD, Yuldashev PK.** Proteinases from *Carica papaya* latex. Chemistry of natural compounds. 2003; 39:223-228.
- Mishra, B.B., Gautam, S., Chander, R., Sharma, A. (2015)** Characterization of nutritional, organoleptic and functional properties of intermediate moisture shelf stable ready to eat *Carica papaya* cubes. *Food Bioscience*:69-79.
- Nijssen, L.M., Visscher, C.A., Maarse, H., Willemsens, L.C., Boelens MH (eds)** (1996). Volatile Compounds in Foods. Qualitative and Quantitative Data. TNO Nutrition and Food Research Institute: Zeist, The Netherlands.
- Pinnamaneni R. (2017).** Nutritional and medicinal value of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.). *World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 6(8):2559-2578.
- Rajarathnam, S. 2010.** Perspectives of processing papaya (*Carica papaya*) fruit: National and International strategies. International Society for Horticulture Sciences. *Acta Horticulturae* Ind International Symposium on Papaya 851: 547-554
- Sutar, N. and Sutar, P.P. 2013.** Development in osmotic dehydration of fruit and vegetables – a review. *Trends in Post-Harvest Technology* 1(1): 20-36.
- N.S., Bhat, M.M., Rana, N. and Joshi, V.K. 2010.** Standardization of pre-treatments for the preparation of dried arils from wild pomegranate. *J. Food Sci. Technol.*, 47 (6): 620- 625
- Vikram Balaji and Singh Purnima Sikarwar (2018).** Studies on Preparation of Value Added Herbal Kinnow– Aonla Beverages (RTS and Squash) during Storage. *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 6 (1): 758-765.
- Wiriyaa, P., Paiboon, T. and Somchart, S. 2009.** Effect of drying air temperature and chemical pre-treatments on quality of dried chilli. *Inter. Food Res J.* 16: 441-454.
- Yadav, A.K., Singh, S.V. (2014).** Osmotic dehydration of fruits and vegetables: a

review. Journal Food Science and Technology,51(9):1654–1673.

Yogiraj, V., Goyal, P.K., Chauhan, C.S., Goyal, A., Vyas, B. (2014).Caricapapaya Linn: AnOverview. International Journal

Azene M, Workneh TS, Woldetsadik K. Effect of packaging materials and storage environment on postharvest quality of papaya fruit. Journal of food science and technology. 2014 Jun;51:1041-55.

UNDER PEER REVIEW