

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105171
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of different hybrids of chilli in Prayagraj agro-climatic condition
Type of the Article	Technical scientific article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community, because it provides solutions on the choice of culture used in this region according to the problem of climate change.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate. but added the name of the country of your study area.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is incomplete because it lacks the main numerical results.</p> <p>4. yes, The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Yes, the references are sufficient and recent. but can add other references of countries characterized by the same climatic conditions as South America.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes, language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications .</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>After the review of this work I would like to add a representative map of the study area and photos of the pepper at different stages of development, and for the results of the statistical analyzes it is necessary to show the deference between these species. on the other hand, it is necessary to use the method of analysis of the principal components to group the common species according to the agroclimatic factors or parameters after having classified these species according to your choice of agriculture or economist</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rata Mohamed
Department, University & Country	Djillali Bounaama University, Algeria