

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>                        |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_IJECC_105171</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Evaluation of different hybrids of chilli in Prayagraj agro-climatic condition</b> |
| Type of the Article      |   |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|--|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The work is focused on evaluate different chili hybrids under agroclimatic conditions of depragaraj.</li> <li>The title expresses the situation under study.</li> <li>It is necessary to specify the objective of the investigation.</li> <li>The work has an adequate structure.</li> <li>It is recommended to justify the experimental design used in the research.</li> <li>The references are adjusted to the context of the investigation.</li> </ol> |  |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>  | <p>Minor: yes, acceptable.</p>  |  |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>   | <p>Optional: discuss the results in the context of the statistical analysis.</p>  |  |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

|  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Manuel Emilio Milla Pino</b>          |
| Department, University & Country | <b>National University of Jaen, Peru</b> |