

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geological Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGER_103928
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF GEOTECHNICAL PROPERTIES OF SOIL IN CAPITOL GULLY EROSION SITE, UNIVERSITY OF BENIN, BENIN CITY, EDO STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajoger.com/index.php/AJGER/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, if the authors respond all the comments thoroughly</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. It needs a kind of modification</p> <p>4. Good</p> <p>5. Yes, but a lot of corrections are required</p> <p>6. I hope enough if properly cited. All most all or most of the references you listed are not cited in the text or paragraphs, and most the citations were not listed under the reference part and vice versa. So, the authors must thoroughly check and correct all the citations and reference list. The authors must add some recent references of research articles done on the same study area as it was previously focused on not so recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Some grammatical errors are found in the manuscript. So, the authors must revise and correct all the grammatical errors.</p> <p>I have highlighted few of the errors on the manuscript too.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Generally, the manuscript title and structure are good. But all the contents of the manuscript need major revision to be considered for publication. So, the authors must respond to all the comments.</p> <p>Minor comments</p> <p>Abstract part</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Are sampling points three or nine? You said three on the third line of abstract part and nine on line nine and ten. The authors must choose the right one and make it consistent! ➤ “.....nine different point.....” change it to nine different points ➤ The authors must focus on “major findings or trends found as a result of your analysis; and, a brief summary of your interpretations and conclusions” rather than going out of your analysis. ➤ “PLI of the profiles.....” First write in expanded form and abbreviation in bracket, then you can use the abbreviation only in the next texts. ➤ The authors don't mention the 'implication of the study'. So, you can indicate the implication of your study even with a single sentence at the end of your abstract part. <p>Introduction part</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Your manuscript becomes better if you can use 'reference management software' like (mendeley, Zotero, endnote, and etc.). 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>➤ The authors don not describe the rationale for your paper, methodology you used, and aim of the study. The authors focus only on definition of erosion and gully erosion. So, your research article will be sound if you will add the important points to be included in introduction part.</p> <p>Result part The authors indicated many results in tables but shallow explanation of the effect of the results on gully erosion. Please, your results need more explanation.</p> <p>Discussions part</p> <p>➤ Better to compare your study findings with previous research done on your study loaction if existed.</p> <p>References part</p> <p>➤ All most all or most of the references you listed are not cited in the text or paragraphs, and most the citations were not listed under the reference part and vice versa. So, the authors must thoroughly check and correct all the citations and reference list.</p> <p>➤ Use reference management software for your citation or thoroughly check all the citations and references.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Timketa Adula Duguma
Department, University & Country	Ambo University, Ethiopia