

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_103733
Title of the Manuscript:	Physicochemical and Nutritional Composition of Syzygium malaccense Fruit from Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes. Physicochemical characteristics and nutritional composition of <i>S. malaccense</i> were observed in the study. Results showed that there were significant differences in the physicochemical characteristics between the different parts of the fruit; especially its peel may impart human and animal health when appropriately applied.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	1. Some references are missing and I have pointed them out in the manuscript. 2. Most references are recent, except for one from 1987, please change it for a more recent one.	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 3.	1. A paper with just nutritional and chemical composition of one fruit is very elementary. It would have been better if the researchers had looked into the medicinal properties of the plants as well. The study then would have been more complete.	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jhinuk Basu Mullick
Department, University & Country	University of Florida, USA