

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_103717
Title of the Manuscript:	Population dynamics and exploitation level of <i>Stolothrissa tanganicae</i> Regan, 1917 and <i>Limnothrissa miodon</i> (Boulenger, 1906), two clupeid fish species of commercial interest of Burundian waters northeast of Lake Tanganyika.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This article presents exploitation level data for two commercially important clupeid fish species, <i>Stolothrissa tanganicae</i> and <i>Limnothrissa miodon</i> that are well adapted to their environment. Also, considering their overexploitation, it is proposed to apply some rational management measures.</p> <p>The title " Population dynamics and exploitation level of <i>Stolothrissa tanganicae</i> Regan, 1917 and <i>Limnothrissa miodon</i> (Boulenger, 1906), two clupeid fish species of commercial interest of Burundian waters northeast of Lake Tanganyika" is correctly.</p> <p>The abstract is well structured and readable.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript is adequate.</p> <p>Yes. The manuscript is scientifically correct. The sentences are short, simple and attractive. The content, methods, discussion, results, conclusions is in the field.</p> <p>A number of 27 bibliographic references have been consulted. The references of this article are relevant and from visible sources.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The english quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Radu Daniela
Department, University & Country	Fish Culture Research and Development Station, Romania