

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJBGMB_104264 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Diagnosis and biocontrol of Sporisorium scitamineum associated with whip smut sugarcane |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbgmb.com/index.php/AJBGMB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>-The manuscript includes good data, includes all the data necessary for scientific community.</p> <p>- The research has a high effort for the authors and the paper deals with important issue and include good data.</p> <p><u>Very adequate</u> (- The topic of the manuscript is within the scope of the journal.) (- The title clearly and sufficiently reflect its content.)</p> <p>Good & Informative, includes all the necessary information about the paper and the objective is clear.</p> <p><u>Yes, very appropriate</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The introduction include enough background information, - The research methodology utilized appropriate and properly administered and - the Methods of data analysis acceptable. - The results are clear. - Discussion interpretation of results in light of the studies. - The figures in acceptable format. - References are recent. - The MS written in correct and satisfactory English. <p>Very Adequate</p> <p>It needs re arrangement</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Good.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>the paper have good data and deals with important issue.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Magdy Youssef Mahmoud El-Kholy |
| Department, University & Country | National Research Centre, Egypt |