

# Case-based learning in pharmacy practice: Observations from an Indian pharmacy college

## 1 ABSTRACT

2

Today, it's getting harder to learn a career in pharmacy. In addition to self-guided individual learning, pharmaceutical educators are required to find and adopt ways that support higher-level thinking, collaborative learning, and student motivation. One strategy to achieve these aims is to develop and use case-based learning as an addition to traditional teaching techniques. They support learner-centered, small-group, interactive learning experiences as opposed to large-group, teacher-centered, didactic instruction. A cross-over study between two groups equally exposed to both didactic lectures and case-based pedagogy musculoskeletal system diseases and drug therapy; which involves assessment of perception and small group responses towards case-based learning. The outcome of which is further investigated by administering an objective structured clinical examination. The vast majority of the students reported satisfaction with case-based learning sessions and highly appreciated this method of teaching pharmacotherapy of musculoskeletal system diseases. In our study, more than 93.22% of the students opined that they enjoyed sessions and it held their interest and motivated them to learn better. The 't-test between post-test 1 and post-test 2 scores was statistically significant with a P value of 0.0001. This suggests that CBL is effective in students' learning, and reinforces important concepts, strengthening information retention and long-term memory. In conclusion, the perception of pharmacy practice students towards case-based learning is highly contented and encountered a very positive impact on understanding and retention of knowledge in musculoskeletal system diseases and drug therapies.

3

4 *Keywords: Cross over, didactic lectures, perception, post-test, pharmacy practice.*

## 5 1. INTRODUCTION

6 Clinical and technological advances are challenging the traditional paradigms of education  
7 and training for millennial graduates at a record-breaking rate, calling for a significant shift in  
8 pharmacy and pharmaceutical sciences education toward a continuum of professional  
9 growth. Students to be successful in the academic path need to acquire four core  
10 competency sets: knowledge, skills, attitude, and values; pharmaceutical educators are  
11 encouraged to find and implement ways to promote higher order thinking, and collaborative  
12 learning and to increase students' motivation. Complementing traditional instruction with  
13 case-based learning (CBL), problem-based learning (PBL), or simulation-based training  
14 (SBT), supported in authentic contexts, is one strategy for achieving these goals.

15 It is incumbent upon academic programs to move students from learning the material to  
16 applying that knowledge in a meaningful way [1]. Many health professions programs,  
17 including medicine, pharmacy, dentistry, and nursing, currently experimenting with  
18 variations of case-based learning to address this problem and to prepare the learner for the  
19 unexpected [2-6]. Furthermore, CBL allows the learner to go beyond knowledge acquisition  
20 in the pedagogical process and proceed to the point of knowledge application.

21 Case based learning allows students to develop a collaborative, team-based approach to  
22 their education [7], and promote authentic learning [8]. The implementation and assessment  
23 of CBL is well documented in various levels of biomedical sciences such as Medicine [9-11],  
24 Anatomy [12], Thyroid disease [13], glycogen metabolism and its regulation [14], Geriatrics  
25 [15], Nursing [16], Therapeutics and Medicinal Chemistry [17], Pharmaceuticals [18],  
26 Obstetrics and Gynecology [19], Dental [20] and Allied Health [21]. In our observation,  
27 literature is very dwarf in the incorporation of case-based learning in pharmacy education  
28 and practice in developing and industrialized countries like India, which mandated a  
29 transformed teaching-learning process for better rational practices with expanded patient  
30 care responsibilities. It is our goal with this text to share our experience in the design and  
31 implementation of a case-based approach to pharmacotherapeutics in musculoskeletal  
32 system diseases

## 33 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

34 The experimental exploratory study of six months duration (October 2021 - March 2022)  
35 approved by the institutional review board (RIPER/IRB/PP/2021/008) was performed among  
36 students pursuing pharmacy practice program, to assess: (a) the perception of students  
37 towards case-based learning, and (b) the effectiveness of case-based learning in  
38 understanding and retention of knowledge in musculoskeletal system disease of  
39 pharmacotherapeutics course (rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis, and gout).

40 The cross-over study between two groups of students equally exposed to both didactic  
41 lectures and case-based pedagogy in musculoskeletal system diseases and drug therapy;  
42 included assessment of perception and small group responses towards case-based learning.  
43 The outcome of which is further investigated by administering an objective structured clinical  
44 examination.

### 45 **Study Procedure**

46 The study was carried out on PharmD (3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> Year) students, after obtaining prior  
47 permission from the Research and Development Cell and Institutional Review Board of the  
48 institute, through a structured framework involving the following steps: (i) Six clinical cases  
49 were prepared for the case-based learning sessions, in consultation with the faculty of  
50 department of pharmacy practice RIPER Autonomous. (ii) The topics covered in the clinical  
51 cases were rheumatoid arthritis (RA), osteoarthritis (OA), and gout. (iii). CBL session  
52 questions will be validated and finalized based on faculty member inputs. (iv). During the  
53 CBL session, the students will be divided into subgroups. (v). Each subgroup will be  
54 provided with handouts of the clinical case scenario a week before the session, and will be  
55 asked to study the case. (vi). Group A will have three CBL sessions (each on RA, OA, and  
56 gout) whereas Group B will have three DLs (didactic lectures) on the same topics. (vii). The  
57 students exposed to CBL will be administered with a questionnaire to assess the students  
58 perception, and the same group will be administered with another set of three cases for  
59 small group discussion and interactions and their experiences and responses will be  
60 documented. (viii). After three sessions, the cross-over of groups will be performed. (ix).  
61 Group A will have three (DLs) didactic lectures (each on RA, OA, and gout) whereas Group  
62 B will have three CBL sessions on the same topics. The students exposed to CBL will be  
63 administered with a feedback form, and the same group will be administered with another  
64 set of three cases for small group discussion and interactions and their experiences and  
65 responses will be documented. (x). CBL sessions and didactic lectures will be conducted in  
66 the lecture hall and each lasted for a minimum of 60 to 90 minutes. (xi). Feedback  
67 questionnaires for students were designed, validated, and pretested with colleagues and  
68 students from the previous batch. The students' feedback form had two parts: (a) The first

69 part: to assess perception towards CBL (5-point Likert Scale), and (b) The second part: to  
70 assess the small group experiences towards CBL case studies discussion (Close-ended  
71 questions). (xii). Post-test was conducted for the entire group of students immediately after  
72 the three CBL and DL sessions were completed, and then a repeat test was taken after 6  
73 weeks duration from the first post-test. (The post-test was in an objective structured clinical  
74 examination - OSCE format).

### 75 3. RESULTS

#### 76 3.1 Characteristics of study participants

77 In our study out of 59 student participants, 27.12% were male and 72.88% were female, in  
78 which 61.02% belonged to PharmD 3<sup>rd</sup> year and the rest 38.98% were PharmD 4<sup>th</sup> students  
79 respectively, results of which are presented in Table 1.

80 **Table 1. Characteristics of study participants**

Program and Year	Gender distribution		Total (n%)
	Male (n%)	Female (n%)	
PharmD III Year	9 (25)	27 (75)	36 (61.02)
PharmD IV Year	7 (43.75)	16 (56.25)	23 (38.98)
Total	16 (27.12)	43 (72.88)	59

#### 81 3.2 Student perception towards case-based learning

82 The study participants experienced case-based learning in musculoskeletal system disease  
83 and pharmacotherapy, facilitated by the researcher followed by which feedback form  
84 designed with 5-point Likert scale was administered to assess the study participant's  
85 perception of sessions.

86 The vast majority of students expressed satisfaction with CBL sessions and great  
87 appreciation for this approach to teaching musculoskeletal system illnesses and drug  
88 therapy. CBL sessions were liked by more than 93.22% of students because it kept their  
89 attention and motivated them to learn more. Many students (52.54 %) felt that the cases  
90 taught in CBL sessions posed many challenging questions that helped them prepare for and  
91 improve clinical problem-solving. Students also opined that CBL improved their ability in  
92 terms of physical examination/drug monitoring skills/laboratory investigations interpretation.  
93 About (72.88%) of the students agreed that the case presented was relevant to the program  
94 and course curriculum. The majority of the students (93.22%) were in favor of CBL sessions  
95 in terms of benefits for knowledge retention and long-lasting memory. However, only  
96 (47.46%) stated that the cases facilitated active discussion, the responses of which are  
97 presented in Table 2.

98

99

100

101

102

**Table 2. Student perception towards case-based learning**

S. No	Inventories	5 Point Likert Scale				
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Class Mean	SD
1	The cases were engaging and includes multiple disciplines	93.22	6.78	0	1.07	0.254
2	The case presented were pertinent to curriculum and program	72.88	27.12	0	1.27	0.448
3	The presentation/course was well-structured	76.27	22.03	1.7	1.25	0.477
4	The cases prompted lively debate	47.46	52.54	0	1.53	0.504
5	Case-based learning is beneficial to traditional teaching and learning methods	54.24	45.76	0	1.46	0.502
6	CBL enhanced laboratory investigation interpretation, drug monitoring, and physical examination skills	76.27	23.73	0	1.24	0.429
7	CBL enhanced my capacity to formulate therapeutic plans	62.71	37.29	0	1.37	0.488
8	CBL trained us for solving clinical problems	52.54	40.68	6.78	1.49	0.569
9	CBL promoted communication between the facilitator and the students	52.54	45.76	1.7	1.49	0.537
10	CBL teaching and learning process was enjoyable	71.19	27.12	1.7	1.31	0.5

103 **3.3 Small group case-based learning response**

104 In current study, pharmacy students of Group A and Group B after experiencing the CBL  
 105 sessions were sub-divided into small sub-groups, and administered with another set of three  
 106 cases. The observations of discussion, interactions, and experiences towards small group  
 107 case-based learning established that female student responded more positively to tasks  
 108 undertaken in the initial discussion sessions, responses of which are presented in Table 3.

109 **Table 3. Small group case-based learning response**

S. No	Inventories	Yes		No	
		Male	Female	Male	Female
1	The session had a clear goal and purpose	16	43	0	0
2	Have you engaged in the small group discussion	16	38	0	5
3	Have you become more adept at analyzing the evidence and the case's background	16	36	0	7
4	Have you developed the capacity to make predictions and suggestions	15	40	1	3
5	Have you become more competent at presenting the point clearly	16	39	0	4
6	Sessions were beneficial for learning new skills	16	35	0	8
7	The concept map for each case study was helpful	16	42	0	1
8	Small group formulated learning objectives	15	42	1	1
9	Session emphasized teamwork	16	42	0	1
10	Facilitator would have fostered the conversation better	9	40	7	3

110 **3.4 Response towards objective structured clinical examination (OSCE) post-test 1**  
 111 **versus post-test 2**

112 The outcome of case-based pedagogy towards musculoskeletal system disease and  
 113 pharmacotherapy among pharmacy practice students was evaluated through objective  
 114 structured clinical examinations with 20 inventories administered twice to the students, as  
 115 post-test 1 (for investigating the understanding), and the post-test 2 (for investigating the  
 116 retention) with a time-interval difference of 6 weeks. The completed response sheets were  
 117 collected and statistically analyzed to compute the results using Microsoft Excel and SPSS  
 118 version 26. Qualitative data were expressed in the form of percentages and the quantitative  
 119 data were expressed in the form of mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Student's "t" test was used to  
 120 compare post-test 1 and post-test 2 scores and expressed in terms of "p" value. The value of  
 121  $p < 0.0001$  was considered statistically significant, the results of which are presented in Table  
 122 4.

123 **Table 4. Response towards objective structured clinical examination (OSCE) post-test**  
 124 **1 versus post-test 2**

Inven tories	Post-test 1			Post-test 2		
	Male (n = 16)	Female (n = 43)	Total (n = 59)	Male (n = 16)	Female (n = 43)	Total (n = 59)
Q 1	14	36	50	15	38	53
Q 2	8	28	36	10	33	43
Q 3	14	36	50	15	39	54
Q 4	12	29	41	13	33	46
Q 5	7	27	34	10	34	44
Q 6	14	25	39	15	32	47
Q 7	14	24	38	15	30	45
Q 8	14	28	42	15	33	48
Q 9	14	28	42	15	35	50
Q 10	14	28	42	15	34	49
Q 11	11	16	27	13	28	41
Q 12	11	37	48	13	39	52
Q 13	10	29	39	12	35	47
Q 14	12	34	46	13	38	51
Q 15	14	37	51	15	39	54
Q 16	13	32	45	14	37	51
Q 17	13	33	46	14	36	50
Q 18	14	28	42	15	32	47
Q 19	14	35	49	15	37	52
Q 20	14	23	37	15	31	46
P value		0.0001*			0.0001*	

125 **3.5 Comparison of the students score in the post-test 1 and post-test 2**

126 In our study, the post-test 2 scores after 6 weeks of CBL sessions were significantly better  
 127 than post-test 1 scores. The 't' test between post-test 1 and post-test 2 scores was  
 128 statistically significant with a P value of 0.0001, which suggests that CBL is effective in  
 129 students' learning, and reinforced important concepts, and thereby strengthens information  
 130 retention and long-term memory, results of which are presented in Table 5.

131 **Table 5. Comparison of the students score in the pre-test and post-test**

Post-test	Mean $\pm$ SD		P value
1	12.55 $\pm$ 2.139	13.85 $\pm$ 1.631	0.0001
2	29.65 $\pm$ 5.422	34.65 $\pm$ 3.200	0.0001
Total	42.2 $\pm$ 7.561	48.5 $\pm$ 4.831	0.0001

#### 132 4. DISCUSSION

133 Case-based learning involves guided inquiry and is grounded in constructivism whereby  
 134 students form new meanings by interacting with their knowledge and the environment [22].  
 135 In Medical [23-32], dentistry [33-38], nursing [39,40], occupational and physical therapy [41]  
 136 or pre-health students, case-based learning demonstrates the use of clinical reasoning,  
 137 suggests pertinent testing, develops a differential diagnosis, and therapeutic plan. CBL is an  
 138 effective method of teaching-learning and the prospects of incorporating the same within the  
 139 curriculum to make the learning more simplified and authentic has been explored by medical  
 140 colleges in India [42,43]. The worldwide literature on case-based learning in pharmacy and  
 141 pharmaceutical sciences available is minuscule. To the best of our knowledge, this study is  
 142 the first of its kind performed to evaluate the perception, and effectiveness of case-based  
 143 learning in terms of understanding and retention of knowledge among pharmacy practice  
 144 students in India.

145 In our study, the basic characteristics of study participants showed nearly three-fourth of  
 146 female (72.88%) students in comparison to male (27.12%), similar observations have been  
 147 reported by Crawford et al, 2012 [44]. The perception of pharmacy practice students of our  
 148 study towards case-based learning facilitated self-directed learning and team building  
 149 capacity, in addition interest and inclination for enhancement of critical analysis and decision  
 150 making in musculoskeletal system disease and pharmacotherapy, observation of which were  
 151 consistent with other similar studies [45,46].

152 Small-group case-based learning is an effective strategy for facilitating interprofessional  
 153 learning, with interaction factors influencing student interest, learning, and satisfaction [47].  
 154 In our study, female student responded more positively to tasks undertaken in the initial  
 155 discussion sessions, similar observation has been reported by Peplow et al, 1998 [48].

156 The current cross over study between two groups of pharmacy practice students equally  
 157 exposed to both didactic lectures and case-based pedagogy in musculoskeletal system  
 158 diseases and drug therapy revealed significantly higher learning, observations of which are  
 159 similar to CBL studies performed in medical students [49-52].

160 In our study, the post-test 2 scores after 6 weeks of CBL sessions were significantly better  
 161 than post-test 1 scores; which showcases retention of knowledge was well facilitated through  
 162 case-based learning in pharmacy practice students. Ciraj et al, 2010 [53] and Bowers et al,  
 163 2022 [54] observed the same in medical microbiology course.

#### 164 5. CONCLUSION

165 In conclusion, the perception of pharmacy practice students towards case-based learning  
 166 was highly contented, which encountered a significant outcome in terms of understanding  
 167 and retention of knowledge in musculo-skeletal system diseases and drug therapies. The  
 168 Indian professional pharmacy curriculum should facilitate integration of case-based learning  
 169 into the students' daily instruction across all facets in order to adapt to the changing  
 170 demands of the profession.

171 **CONSENT**

172 As per international standard or university standard, Participants' written consent has been  
173 collected and preserved by the author(s).

174 **ETHICAL APPROVAL**

175 The institutional review board of the institute approved our study protocol with approval  
176 number (RIPER/IRB/PP/2021/008).

177 **REFERENCES**

- 178 1. Yolton DP, Yolton RL, Laukkanen HR. Implications of problem-based education for  
179 the future of optometric practice. *Optometry*. 2000;71(2):104-10.
- 180 2. Marinho VC, Richards D, Niederman R. Variation, certainty, evidence, and change  
181 in dental education: employing evidence-based dentistry in dental education. *J Dent*  
182 *Educ*. 2001;65(5):449-55.
- 183 3. Demarco R, Hayward L, Lynch M. Nursing students' experiences with and strategic  
184 approaches to case-based instruction: a replication and comparison study between  
185 two disciplines. *J Nurs Educ*. 2002;41(4):165-74.
- 186 4. Pungente MD, Wasan KM, Moffett C. Using Learning Styles to Evaluate First-Year  
187 Pharmacy Students' Preferences Toward Different Activities Associated with the  
188 Problem-Based Learning Approach. *Am J Pharm Educ*. 2003 Summer;66:119-124.
- 189 5. Tärnvik A. Advantages of using the multiple case method at the clinical stage of  
190 medical education. *Med Teach*. 2002;24(4):396-401.
- 191 6. Williams B. Case based learning--a review of the literature: is there scope for this  
192 educational paradigm in prehospital education? *Emerg Med J*. 2005;22(8):577-81.
- 193 7. Schmidt HG. Assumptions underlying self-directed learning may be false. *Med Educ*.  
194 2000;34(4):243-5.
- 195 8. Jesus A, Gomes MJ, and Cruz A. A B-learning strategy for Therapeutics at the  
196 Bachelor Level. 2012. Presented at the FIP World Centennial Congress of  
197 Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Amsterdam: International Pharmaceutical  
198 Federation.
- 199 9. Jamkar AV, Burdick W, Morahan P, Yemul VY, Sarmukadum, Singh G. Proposed  
200 model of case based learning for training undergraduate medical student in surgery.  
201 *Indian J Surg*. 2007;69(5):176-83.
- 202 10. James M, Baptista AMT, Barnabas D, Sadza A, Smith S, Usmani O, John C.  
203 Collaborative case-based learning with programmatic team-based assessment: a  
204 novel methodology for developing advanced skills in early-years medical students.  
205 *BMC Med Educ*. 2022;22(1):81.
- 206 11. Cen XY, Hua Y, Niu S, Yu T. Application of case-based learning in medical student  
207 education: a meta-analysis. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci*. 2021;25(8):3173-3181.
- 208 12. Sangam MR, K P, G V, Bokan RR, Deka R, Kaur A. Efficacy of Case-Based  
209 Learning in Anatomy. *Cureus*. 2021;13(12):e20472.
- 210 13. Zhao W, He L, Deng W, Zhu J, Su A, Zhang Y. The effectiveness of the combined  
211 problem-based learning (PBL) and case-based learning (CBL) teaching method in  
212 the clinical practical teaching of thyroid disease. *BMC Med Educ*. 2020;20(1):381.
- 213 14. García-Ponce ÁL, Martínez-Poveda B, Blanco-López Á, Quesada AR, Suárez F,  
214 Alonso-Carrión FJ, Medina MÁ. A problem-/case-based learning approach as an  
215 useful tool for studying glycogen metabolism and its regulation. *Biochem Mol Biol*  
216 *Educ*. 2021;49(2):236-241.

- 217 15. Struck BD, Teasdale TA. Development and evaluation of a longitudinal Case Based  
218 Learning (CBL) experience for a geriatric medicine rotation. *Gerontol Geriatr Educ.*  
219 2008;28(3):105-14.
- 220 16. Yoo MS, Park JH, Lee SR. [The effects of case-based learning using video on  
221 clinical decision making and learning motivation in undergraduate nursing students].  
222 *J Korean Acad Nurs.* 2010;40(6):863-71.
- 223 17. Ives TJ, Deloatch KH, Ishaq KS. Integration of medicinal chemistry and  
224 pharmacotherapeutics courses: a case-based, learner-centered approach. *Am J*  
225 *Pharm Educ.* 1998;62(4):406–411.
- 226 18. Romero, R, Erikson S, and Haworth I. A decade of teaching pharmaceuticals using  
227 case studies and problem-based learning. *Am J Pharm Educ.* 2004;68(2):1-10.
- 228 19. Massonetto JC, Marcellini C, Assis PS, de Toledo SF. Student responses to the  
229 introduction of case-based learning and practical activities into a theoretical  
230 obstetrics and gynaecology teaching programme. *BMC Med Educ.* 2004;4(1):26.
- 231 20. Aguayo S, Benso B, Cantarutti C, Ortuño D, Véliz C. Case-based learning to teach  
232 scientific thinking to dental students. *J Dent Educ.* 2022;86(Suppl 3):1734-1736.
- 233 21. White P, Mckay J. Case-based learning introduced into an allied health programme.  
234 2003. Paper presented at International Literacy and Education Research Network  
235 Conference on Learning.
- 236 22. Lee, Virginia Snowden. "What is inquiry-guided learning?" *New Directions for*  
237 *Teaching and Learning* 2012 (2012): 5-14.
- 238 23. Ward R. Active, collaborative and case-based learning with computer-based case  
239 scenarios. *Computers Education.* 1998;30(1-2):103-10.
- 240 24. Eisenstein A, Vaisman L, Johnston-Cox H, Gallan A, Shaffer K, Vaughan D, O'Hara  
241 C, Joseph L. Integration of basic science and clinical medicine: the innovative  
242 approach of the cadaver biopsy project at the Boston University School of Medicine.  
243 *Acad Med.* 2014;89(1):50-3.
- 244 25. Srinivasan M, Wilkes M, Stevenson F, Nguyen T, Slavin S. Comparing problem-  
245 based learning with case-based learning: effects of a major curricular shift at two  
246 institutions. *Acad Med.* 2007;82(1):74-82.
- 247 26. Guarner J, Amukele T, Mehari M, Gemechu T, Woldeamanuel Y, Winkler AM, Asrat  
248 D, Wilson ML, del Rio C. Building capacity in laboratory medicine in Africa by  
249 increasing physician involvement: a laboratory medicine course for clinicians. *Am J*  
250 *Clin Pathol.* 2015;143(3):405-11.
- 251 27. Frengley RW, Weller JM, Torrie J, Dzendrowskyj P, Yee B, Paul AM, Shulruf B,  
252 Henderson KM. The effect of a simulation-based training intervention on the  
253 performance of established critical care unit teams. *Crit Care Med.*  
254 2011;39(12):2605-11.
- 255 28. Li S, Yu B, Yue J. Case-oriented self-learning and review in pharmacology teaching.  
256 *Am J Med Sci.* 2014;348(1):52-6.
- 257 29. Begley KJ, Coover KL, Tilleman JA, Haddad AM, Augustine SC. Medication therapy  
258 management training using case studies and the MirixaPro platform. *Am J Pharm*  
259 *Educ.* 2011;75(3):49.
- 260 30. Marshall LL, Nykamp D. Active-learning assignments to integrate basic science and  
261 clinical course material. *Am J Pharm Educ.* 2010;74(7):119.
- 262 31. Dupuis RE, Persky AM. Use of case-based learning in a clinical pharmacokinetics  
263 course. *Am J Pharm Educ.* 2008;72(2):29.
- 264 32. Peng Y, Yang L, Qi A, Zhang L, Xiong R, Chen G. Simulation-Based Learning  
265 Combined with Case and Problem-Based Learning in the Clinical Education of Joint  
266 Surgery. *J Surg Educ.* 2023;80(6):892-899.
- 267 33. Ilgüy M, Ilgüy D, Fişekçioğlu E, Oktay I. Comparison of case-based and lecture-  
268 based learning in dental education using the SOLO taxonomy. *J Dent Educ.*  
269 2014;78(11):1521-7.

- 270 34. Du GF, Li CZ, Shang SH, Xu XY, Chen HZ, Zhou G. Practising case-based learning  
271 in oral medicine for dental students in China. *Eur J Dent Educ.* 2013;17(4):225-8.  
272 35. Keeve PL, Gerhards U, Arnold WA, Zimmer S, Zöllner A. Job requirements  
273 compared to dental school education: impact of a case-based learning curriculum.  
274 *GMS Z Med Ausbild.* 2012;29(4):Doc54.  
275 36. Woelber JP, Hilbert TS, Ratka-Krüger P. Can easy-to-use software deliver effective  
276 e-learning in dental education? A randomised controlled study. *Eur J Dent Educ.*  
277 2012;16(3):187-92.  
278 37. Alcota M, Muñoz A, González FE. Diverse and participative learning methodologies:  
279 a remedial teaching intervention for low marks dental students in Chile. *J Dent Educ.*  
280 2011;75(10):1390-5.  
281 38. Haley CM, Brown B, Koerber A, Nicholas CL, Belcher A. Comparing Case-Based  
282 with Team-Based Learning: Dental Students' Satisfaction, Level of Learning, and  
283 Resources Needed. *J Dent Educ.* 2020;84(4):486-494.  
284 39. Giddens JF. The Neighborhood: a Web-based platform to support conceptual  
285 teaching and learning. *Nurs Educ Perspect.* 2007;28(5):251-6.  
286 40. Pearson D, Pandya H. Shared learning in primary care: participants' views of the  
287 benefits of this approach. *J Interprof Care.* 2006;20(3):302-13.  
288 41. Parmar SK, Rathinam BA. Introduction of vertical integration and case-based  
289 learning in anatomy for undergraduate physical therapy and occupational therapy  
290 students. *Anat Sci Educ.* 2011;4(3):170-3.  
291 42. Kapoor N. Teaching pathology of breast cancer to medical undergraduates by case  
292 based learning method. *Indian J Cancer.* 2015;52(2):215-6.  
293 43. Singhal A. Case-based Learning in Microbiology: Observations from a North West  
294 Indian Medical College. *Int J Appl Basic Med Res.* 2017;7(Suppl 1):S47-S51.  
295 44. Crawford SY, Alhreish SK, Popovich NG. Comparison of learning styles of pharmacy  
296 students and faculty members. *Am J Pharm Educ.* 2012;76(10):192.  
297 45. Jacob SA, Dhing OH, Malone D. Perceptions of Australian and Malaysian Educators  
298 in an Undergraduate Pharmacy Program on Case-based Learning. *Am J Pharm*  
299 *Educ.* 2019;83(3):6597.  
300 46. Lee J, Thomas SA, Cates DW, McGraw-Senat CM. Improved learning experience  
301 with modified case studies courses in a pharmacy curriculum. *Curr Pharm Teach*  
302 *Learn.* 2020;12(10):1224-1238.  
303 47. Curran VR, Sharpe D, Forristall J, Flynn K. Student satisfaction and perceptions of  
304 small group process in case-based interprofessional learning. *Med Teach.*  
305 2008;30(4):431-3.  
306 48. Peplow P. Attitudes and examination performance of female and male medical  
307 students in an active, case-based learning programme in anatomy. *Med Teach.*  
308 1998;20(4):349-55.  
309 49. Rustagi, S.M., Prakash, S.H., Dave, V., Dhuria, R., & Gorla, S. Case Based learning  
310 in Neuroanatomy in Small Groups for First MBBS Students. *Indian J Anat.*  
311 2020;9(1):55-60.  
312 50. Nair S.K, and Rai N. Comparing the Effectiveness of Case Based Learning with  
313 Conventional Teaching in Anatomy. *Academia Anatomica International.*  
314 2019;5(2):34-36.  
315 51. Blewett EL, Kisamore JL. Evaluation of an interactive, case-based review session in  
316 teaching medical microbiology. *BMC Med Educ.* 2009;27;9:56.  
317 52. Tathe, S.S., and Singh, A.L. Case based lectures versus conventional lectures for  
318 teaching medical microbiology to undergraduate students. *Int J Cur Res Rev.*  
319 2014;6(4):35-41.  
320 53. Ciraj AM, Vinod P, Ramnarayan K. Enhancing active learning in microbiology  
321 through case-based learning: experiences from an Indian medical school. *Indian J*  
322 *Pathol Microbiol.* 2010;53(4):729-33.

323 54. Bowers RD, Scott Asbill C. Traditional Lecture versus Case-Based Learning in a  
324 Therapeutic Drug Monitoring Course within an Integrated Pharmacy Curriculum.  
325 Innov Pharm. 2022;13(1):10.24926/iip.v13i1.4035.

326 **ABBREVIATIONS**

327 CBL: Case-based learning; PBL: Problem-based learning; SBT: Simulation-based training;  
328 IRB: Institutional Review Board; PP: Pharmacy Practice; PharmD: Doctor of Pharmacy; RA:  
329 Rheumatoid Arthritis; OA: Osteoarthritis; DL: Didactic Lectures; OSCE: Objective Structured  
330 Clinical Examination; SPSS: Statistical Package for Social Sciences.

UNDER PEER REVIEW