

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_105026</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PHYTOCHEMICAL INVESTIGATION AND THIN LAYER CHROMATOGRAPHY OF METHANOL EXTRACT OF Psoralea Corylifolia AND Emblica Officinalis LEAVES</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>No apply.</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>It is an interesting manuscript about phytocharacterization by extraction and characterization of two types of leaves using Chromatography. It merits to be published; however please consider the following comments and suggestions:</p> <p>i) the abstract organization should be revised as well as the content. Check the first asseveration about the antibio compounds found due to in the end of the abstract is mentioned the need to confirm their properties. Moreover add details, describe work done, mention technique used, and after mention results and discussion.</p> <p>ii) in the introduction after add information and justifications for the aims of the work; try to add an extra phrase to justify and explain the innovation added in this Research work. What is the difference in comparison to previous work mentioned?.</p> <p>iii) in material and methods; please check cites related for plant species used.</p> <p>iv) in materials and methods is not mentioned about how it was identified components? Was used a previous method with Thin layer Chromatography?</p> <p>v) about the results; it is suggested to add tables related with identification of compounds.</p> <p>vi) it seems that this important section is missing of content. So, it is suggested to add further experimental information or more analysis in order to increase conclusions and perspectives. Highlight the work done and compare it with others.</p> <p>vii) there is missing a section of conclusions.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Guillermo Bracamonte</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National University of Cordoba, Argentine</b>