

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105177
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Different Drying Media on Different Cut Flower.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The article submitted for review is devoted to a very interesting issue, obtaining dried flowers in different ways. At the same time, flowers retain all their main organoleptic characteristics (color, smell, structure, and others), but moisture leaves them. Flowers are stored for a long time and can be used in various products, allow you to create compositions that adorn both the life of a person and various folk crafts. It is not for nothing that the presented article is referred to the original research articles, since I have not seen similar articles before.</p> <p>The title of the article suitable</p> <p>The abstract of the article comprehensive</p> <p>No, there are no conclusions in the structure of the article, which are an integral part of any article</p> <p>I think that the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>Yes. The references sufficient and recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>When preparing an article for publication, its text should be supplemented with the obligatory paragraph "CONCLUSIONS"</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Verkhivker Yakov
Department, University & Country	Odessa National Technology University, Ukraine