

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_105369
Title of the Manuscript:	CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND OUTCOME OF PATIENTS WITH CORONARY CHRONIC TOTAL OCCLUSION: SINGLE CENTRE STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 1. Overall, it is a well-written manuscript. 2. On what basis, decision to treat patients medically vs. revascularization made and who made the decision? Was there a discussion between the patient, interventional team and CT surgery team on all these patients? 3. Was the medical treatment different between the two groups? Meaning, did the patients on the revascularisation arm or the medical treatment arm get more guideline directed medical therapy other than anti-angina medications like GLP-1, SGLT2-I, BB, ACE/ARB, ARNI ? This could be looked at.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Senthil S. Balasubramanian
Department, University & Country	University of Chicago, USA