

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Cardiology Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_105369
Title of the Manuscript:	CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND OUTCOME OF PATIENTS WITH CORONARY CHRONIC TOTAL OCCLUSION: SINGLE CENTRE STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>General comments: The present study has provided the precise clinical impact of demographic variables and coronary chronic occlusions among South Asian patients. 114 patients were included in the present study with confirmed cases of CTO (Coronary chronic total occlusions), and investigated several demographic and clinico-pathological variables associated with the CTO condition, which showed significant outcome that CTO has strong association with high SYNTAX score with multi-vessel condition. The one-year follow-up also revealed non-significant association of CTO with MACE incidence. In order to improvise the manuscript, some of the comments are provided below section</p> <p><b>Reviewer's comment:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Full form of clinical terms.</li> <li>2. From research point of view kindly explain some clinical terms and intervention/assessment method used such as SYNTAX in the methodology section.</li> <li>3. Please provide more detail in introduction regarding the CTO disease and related condition particularly pointing what is the need of the present study and how it contributing to the clinical and management aspect of the disease.</li> <li>4. The interpretation or detailing of the result section is required, e.g., any statical analysis denote what? OR regarding respective parameter with disease condition?</li> <li>5. Also, please explain briefly regarding disease management strategies.</li> </ol>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nisha Gautam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rutgers University, USA</b>