

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_104819
Title of the Manuscript:	Lean body mass in osteoporotic postmenopausal women treated with Nandrolone Decanoate: a systematic search review
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This review adds new knowledge to the scientific and medical community, especially in management of postmenopausal women with osteoporosis and sarcopenia. However, several points are required to be amended to make it more rigorous. 2. Yes 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>No. There are many grammatical errors. Please check the grammar and writing throughout the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Each paragraph should contain more than one sentence. In the introduction, results and discussion, many paragraphs contain only one sentence. Please try to combine them together, or try to elaborate the detail.</p> <p>Does the search strategy comply with PRISMA guideline? Please elaborate the search strategy i.e.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Searched and screened by title and abstract, and then full text? - Independently screened by two authors? - What did the authors do when there were discrepancies between two authors? <p>In the result, please state the number of articles found in total from the search, number of studies excluded and reason for exclusion.</p> <p>In table 2, please check the side effects of "Hassager C, 1989 [15]".</p> <p>Based on table 2, the proportion of the patients experiencing side effects of ND is not small. Therefore, ND should be used with caution, and should be discussed with patients beforehand. Please state this in the discussion. Please also include in the discussion which group of patients the authors think would benefit from ND and why.</p> <p>Please discuss about the quality and risk of bias of the three studies included (Hassager C, 1989 [15], Hassager C, 1989 [16], Frisoli A, 2005 [17]). This information is crucial in establishing the reliability of the results.</p> <p>Please discuss why ND is not included in current guidelines for treatment of osteoporosis.</p> <p>Please elaborate the mechanism by which ND affects muscle health. Please also include evidence on its anti-inflammatory property and musculoskeletal benefit.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>If available, please add some details in the discussion on the effect of ND on other aspects of muscle health, for example, muscle strength and performance.</p> <p>Acronyms used should be the same throughout the manuscript, i.e. Nandrolone Decanoate is ND, and not DN. Please check and correct them.</p>	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Atiporn Therdyothin
Department, University & Country	Police General Hospital, Thailand