

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_104404
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Drying Procedures on the Nutritional, Biochemical and Phytochemical Compositions of Cola nitida seeds
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (YES)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (YES)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? YES</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? (Yes)</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? (Perhaps)</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (No)</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Estimation of novel processes or methods for getting excellent results on plant compositions are very important in order to be use in different applications. Here, and after carefully evaluating the manuscript, I recommend that the paper may be considered for acceptance and publishing after making a minor revision for the below-suggested below-suggested points.</p> <p>Please push more attention to the language and grammar of all sentences in all of the manuscript.</p> <p>Numerate the basic titles for each paragraph.</p> <p>The novelty of this paper must be established in the introduction section</p> <p>The conclusion section is very poor, please improve it.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Yes</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samie Yaseen Sharaf Zeebaree
Department, University & Country	College of Health and Medical Technology, Duhok Polytechnic University, Iraq