

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105527
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of different varieties of Cucumber (Cucumis sativus) under Prayagraj Agro-Climatic Condition
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, improvement of Cucumber production and its effect for farmers and country economy.</p> <p>No very complicated understandable tittle. I suggest, e.g. The Role of Prayagraj Agro-Climatic Condition on Cucumber production. Or the Effect of Prayagraj Agro-Climatic Condition on Cucumber production. or Prayagraj Agro-Climatic Condition and Cucumber production: opportunities and challenges.</p> <p>No , it is like story, u need to write again according to the research abstract frame .</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	To some extent	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The aim of the study (to evaluate the performance of various Varieties of cucumber in terms) in the introduction part . the word evaluate is not suitable for research pls change and rewrite the main aim of study.</p> <p>(to evaluate the performance of various Varieties of cucumber in terms of growth, yield and quality and to estimates the economics of various varieties under Prayagraj agro-climatic condition.)</p> <p>Pls mention what u need to assess all production parameters and economic. pls justify because it is difficult to study all of them.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hind Bushra Ahmed
Department, University & Country	School of Rural Extension Education and Development, Ahfad University for Women, Sudan