

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104244
Title of the Manuscript:	Halophytes, Salt Plants to Rapidly, Inexpensively and At Scale Significantly Address Land, Water, Food, Energy and Climate
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The article reviewed Halophytes which are Salt Plants that Rapidly, Inexpensively and At Scale Significantly Address Land, Water, Food, Energy and Climate. This is important in field of climate change mitigation for policy makers and researchers.</p> <p>The title is suitable however, I recommend adding the words: A Review....see below</p> <p>“Halophytes, Salt Plants to Rapidly, Inexpensively and At Scale Significantly Address Land, Water, Food, Energy and Climate: A Review”</p> <p>The abstract is too summarized and lacks the standard format....it should be improved by adding a statement about the main objectives, the methodology – in this case literature review, some findings and one or two recommendations.</p> <p>Fair for a Review Article</p> <p>Yes, it is correct</p> <p>Sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English is suitable, however, the author (s) are advised to avoid using the word “WE”....</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article is well written however, the author (s) should address the comments made to improve the article. See comments in the article</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Frank Mudenda
Department, University & Country	University of Zambia, Zambia