

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Chemical Science International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CSIJ_104741</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Heavy Metals Identification and Detection in Incinerated Bottom Ash from Biomedical Solid Waste in Selected Healthcare Facilities in Douala, Cameroon.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>How and why Biomedical Solid waste has been selected to be mentioned clearly. Unique properties about Biomedical Solid waste can be mentioned in the introduction part. Discussion part to be improved by reviewing recent related articles like <a href="https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-79899-4_4">https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-79899-4_4</a> <a href="https://www.mdpi.com/2305-6304/11/5/422">https://www.mdpi.com/2305-6304/11/5/422</a> <a href="https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-1802122/v2">https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-1802122/v2</a></p> <p>Figure 1 clarity can be improved and description can be given still better. Section 2.4 procedure and technique can be elaborated more. Figure 4 can be explained briefly in the main text and caption can be elaborated.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>Overall manuscript can be reviewed again for overall English quality and grammatic errors. Suffix can be verified in entire paper.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>A K Priya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>KPR Institute of Engineering and Technology, India</b>