

Diversity, Abundance, and Distribution of Anurans in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan

Abstract

Aims: This paper presents a comprehensive study of the Anuran fauna of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan, which was conducted across nine districts. After the collection and identification of specimens from the study area, their diversity, relative abundance (RA), and distribution (C) were evaluated to understand their distribution patterns within Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Place and Duration of Study: Anurans were collected from the districts of Peshawar, Dir, Bannu, Buner, Charsadda, Chitral, Karak, Lakki Marwat, and Haripur of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa from July to September 2021 and from April to June 2022.

Study design: Visual encounter surveys were conducted during different seasons, encompassing both daytime and nighttime observations near various water bodies such as water channels, small pools, and microhabitats suitable for the anurans. Emphasis was placed on the collection of specimens from diverse habitats. The collected specimens underwent thorough morphological and morphometric analyses to accurately identify the species.

Methodology: In total, 190 specimens were collected and transported to the laboratory for identification. The collected specimens were euthanized, carefully arranged, and preserved in glass jars filled with a 10% formalin solution. Accurate species identification was achieved through the utilization of authentic literature, updated taxonomic keys, and observation and measurement of morphological and morphometric parameters.

Results: The specimens that were examined and identified belonged to two families, Bufonidae and Dicroglossidae, and five genera. Two genera were observed in the Bufonidae family, *Duttaphrynus* and *Bufo*. The Dicroglossidae family comprised three genera: *Hoplobatrachus*, *Euphlyctis*, and *Allopaia*. In the current study, seven different species were identified: *Duttaphrynus stomaticus*, *Duttaphrynus melanostictus*, *Bufo tessardus* from the Bufonidae family, and *Hoplobatrachus tigrinus*, *Euphlyctis cyanophlyctis*, *Euphlyctis kalasgramensis*, and *Allopaia hazarensis* from the Dicroglossidae family. *Duttaphrynus stomaticus* was the most abundant species found at all the study sites (C=88.8% and RA=44.2%), whereas *Euphlyctis kalasgramensis* and *Allopaia hazarensis* were sporadic (C=11.1% and RA=0.52%), and (C=11.1% and RA=2.10%), respectively. Furthermore, this research unveils the first-ever report of *Euphlyctis kalasgramensis* within Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, specifically in the Peshawar District, while *Allopaia hazarensis* was solely reported in the Dir district.

Conclusion: The study found that *Duttaphrynus stomaticus* exhibited a vast distribution across all nine districts surveyed. Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, being an ecologically diverse area, holds diverse anuran fauna. Taxonomic revision of the anuran fauna using both classical and molecular approaches may yield several new taxa. This will further help in the study of biology and conservation as well as the ecological role of anurans. The current taxonomic work may require improvement.

Keywords: Anurans, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Species diversity, Relative abundance, Taxonomic identification, Morphological analysis.

1 INTRODUCTION

Around the globe, there are approximately 6,500 documented species of amphibians²⁰. Among these, 24 species belonging to four families, namely, Bufonidae, Megophryidae, Microhylidae, and Dicroglossidae, have been reported in certain regions of Pakistan^{25,19,18,344}. There is no evidence to support the presence of the orders Caudata and Gymnophiona in Pakistan²². As an ancient group of vertebrates, they hold a remarkable distinction as the sole surviving descendants of the earliest terrestrial vertebrates⁵⁵. The term "amphibians" comes from "amphibious," reflecting their metamorphosis from aquatic larvae to terrestrial adults⁶². Frogs and toads (order Anura) are amphibians, with four legs, short tailless bodies, broad flat heads, and large mouths. Their muscular elongated hind legs enable them to hop or jump, distinguishing them from other amphibians. They have moist or warty skin but lack scales and claws⁵⁴. Frogs have long legs and smooth mucus-covered skin, whereas toads have short legs and rough skin³⁴.

Anurans demonstrate adaptability and thrive in diverse habitats, including terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems³⁴¹⁰. Their distribution patterns are influenced by the type of aquatic habitat, substrate, and the surrounding vegetation⁹. They possess a unique reproductive behavior, which involves laying eggs in water, where they remain until hatching and undergo full metamorphosis into adulthood⁵². The presence and abundance of anurans at their breeding sites are influenced by a combination of abiotic and biotic factors. Abiotic factors such as temperature⁴⁴, hydroperiod⁵⁶, and water quality play significant roles in determining the suitability of breeding sites⁵. Biotic factors, such as the structure of vegetation in and around the pond, also contribute to shaping their presence and population at these sites⁷. A high number of anurans species adopt a subterranean lifestyle, typically as a strategy to escape harsh environmental factors such as extreme heat, droughts, or moisture deficits⁵⁸. They inhabit diverse habitats worldwide, except on some islands. Additionally, they serve as a significant food source for various organisms including birds, reptiles, fish, and mammals³⁸¹³. As these amphibians become prey for numerous predators, nutrients are transferred from the aquatic to the aerial ecosphere through nutrient shifting¹¹. Anurans exhibit a predator lifestyle and primarily feed on invertebrates, though larger species can consume vertebrates⁴⁵. Their diet mainly comprises insects, worms, and occasionally other anurans. Beyond diet, variations exist in their reproductive behaviors, habitat preferences, and feeding methods²⁷²⁴. By primarily preying on insects, they play a pivotal role in maintaining ecological balance and controlling insect populations¹¹. Furthermore, they serve as valuable bioindicators, reflecting ecosystem health¹⁶⁴⁶.

Pakistan is a man-made northwestern political division of the Indo-Pakistan subcontinent and not a natural geocological entity²⁸. The country covers an area of 796,096 km² and is located between 24°N and 37°N latitude, as well as between 61°E and 78°E longitude and falls into two zoogeographical regions: Palearctic and Oriental⁸¹²⁵⁰⁵¹³⁵. The climate in Pakistan is continental, characterized by significant fluctuations in winter and summer temperatures. The monsoon season occurs from July to October, leading to varying rainfall patterns throughout the year, often resulting in both floods and droughts¹²⁸. Pakistan's anuran fauna is not very diverse. To date, a total of 24 species of anurans have been recorded in Pakistan because of the humid climates in the Indus Valley, streams in mountainous regions of the Himalayas in the North, and underground waterways in the western parts of the Balochistan highlands²⁹³¹³⁹. In Pakistan, anurans are categorized into four families: Bufonidae, Dicroglossidae, Megophryidae, and Microhylidae³⁹, which are distributed across various regions, with elevations ranging from sea level to almost 4000m although no individual species encompass this entire range. Certain species, such as *Duttaphrynus olivaceus*, *Bufotes surdus*, and *Fejervaryasyhadrensis*, exhibit a limited distribution and are confined to relatively low elevations. Conversely, species such as *Duttaphrynus himalayanus*, *Scutigero occidentalis*, *Bufotes pseudoraddei*, and *Bufotes baturae* are exclusively found at higher elevations. The remaining species demonstrate broader vertical ranges, primarily spanning from low to moderate elevations. *Duttaphrynus stomaticus* displays the most extensive vertical distribution, ranging from sea level to elevations surpassing 2,400 m³⁵. Despite this biodiversity richness, there is a severe lack of data concerning the country's distribution of amphibian and reptilian species²⁶³³⁴⁹.

The main objective of this study was to describe the relative abundance, diversity, and distribution of anurans in Peshawar, Dir, Bannu, Buner, Charsadda, Chitral, Karak, Lakki Marwat, and Haripur, in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. This study is crucial because it quantifies the diversity, distribution, relative abundance, and surveys of various species of anurans in these districts.

2 LOCATION OF THE STUDY AREAS

This study was conducted as a survey in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (vid. Fig.1), previously known as the Northwest Frontier Province, which is the northernmost province of Pakistan. It shares borders with Afghanistan to the west and north, the northern areas to the east and north, Punjab to the southeast, and Baluchistan to the southwest. Covering a total area of 74,521 km², Khyber Pakhtunkhwa is characterized by a variety of physical and climatic conditions, reflecting a broad diversity in terms of stratigraphy, lithology, climate, flora, and fauna. The survey was meticulously executed in the following nine districts, namely district Charsadda, which lies between 34.1682° North and 71.7504° East; district Peshawar, which lies between 34.0151° North and 71.5249° East; district Bannu which lies between 32.9298° North, 70.6693° East; district Buner which lies between 34.3943° North, 72.6151° East; district Dir which lies between 34.9161° North and 71.8097° East; district Chitral which lies between 36.1113° North and 72.1416° East; district Karak which lies between 33.1277° North and 71.0973° East; district Lakki Marwat which lies between 32.5763° North and 70.9071° East; and district Haripur which lies between 34.0403° North and 72.8515° East.




Fig. 1. Map showing locations of the study area- Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan.

3 MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study was conducted thoroughly from July to September 2021 and April to June 2022 to collect Anuran specimens. Anurans were sampled using visual encounter surveys conducted during both daytime and nighttime near water bodies, such as water channels, small water pools, and suitable microhabitats like crevices, damp soil, herbs, shrubs, and logs. The specimens were captured using gloves, hand nets, and long forceps. Various equipment, including field books, torch lights, formalin, syringes, large plastic jars, paper tape, and pencils, were used in this study. Active searching was performed to locate specimens under the bushes, logs, and debris. Anurans are typically found near water, but toads can also be observed in gardens and various habitats. The most effective methods for collecting anurans are hand picking and using hand nets. Handpicking is particularly effective for capturing toads, whereas hand nets are commonly used for collecting frogs because they primarily reside in water and occasionally venture onto land.

3.1 Preservations and identification of the Anurans

All the collected anuran specimens were euthanized instantly by injecting absolute ethanol into their heads using a disposable 3 ml syringe. Subsequently, the specimens were carefully arranged in a tray to facilitate observation of any external abnormalities. They were positioned in a way that highlighted important identification features, such as an open mouth, extended hind legs, and spread fingers and toes. The specimens were subsequently preserved in glass jars filled with a 10% formalin (formaldehyde) solution. Waterproof labels were affixed to the jars containing detailed information, such as the specimen number, collection date, and locality. Taxonomic identification of anuran specimens in this study was aided by authentic literature and updated taxonomic keys [830394041](https://doi.org/10.33039/4041).

Two important parameters were used to identify the specimens.

- i. Morphological parameters
- ii. Morphometrical parameters

During the identification of specimens important morphological parameters, that is, parotid glands present or absent, vomerine teeth present or absent, teeth of the upper jaw present or absent, snout wide or pointed, dorsal and ventral surface characteristics of the skin, presence of warts on the skin, presence or absence of mid-dorsal line, size of tympanum membrane, length of fingers, webbing, tibiotarsal articulation, and the presence or absence of the tibial gland were observed in a Petri dish using a magnifying glass and stereomicroscope (LeitzWetzlar, Germany, and Warszawa, Poland).

Morphometrical parameters such as snout-vent length (SVL), snout length (SL), head length (HL), eye diameter (ED), interorbital distance (IOD), internarial distance (IND), and Foot length (FL) were measured using vernier caliper to the nearest 0.1mm. The methods described by Watters et al. (2016) were followed for the morphometric analysis.

Following the identification of the specimens, the whole collection was deposited in the Natural History Museum, Department of Zoology, University of Peshawar, for future studies.

3.2 Data analysis methods

The distribution status of the collected anuran species at different collection sites was calculated using the equation given below³:

Eq. 1

$$\text{Distribution (C)} = \frac{n_{\text{site}}}{N_{\text{site}}} \times 100$$

where n is the number of sites where anurans were found, and N is the total number of sites analyzed. Based on the C values, the species were categorized into the following classes: sporadic species (0 – 20%), infrequent (20-40%), moderate (40-60%), frequent (60-80%), and constant (80-100%).

The relative abundance of the anurans was determined as³ follows:

Eq. 2

$$\text{Relative Abundance (RA)} = \frac{n_{\text{individual}}}{N_{\text{total}}} \times 100$$

where $n_{\text{individual}}$ represents the number of specimens collected of a specific anuran species, and N_{total} denotes the total number of specimens collected across all anuran species. Based on the values of RA, the species were categorized into the following classes: dominant species (RA >10%), sub-dominant species (3-10 %), and satellite species (RA < 3%).

4 RESULTS

Taxonomic study of the anuran specimens revealed that the collected anurans belonged to two families, Bufonidae and Dicroglossidae, and five genera. Two genera were observed in the Bufonidae family: *Duttaphrynus* and *Bufo*. The Dicroglossidae family comprised three genera: *Hoplobatrachus*, *Euphlyctis*, and *Allopa*. Seven distinct species were identified: *Duttaphrynus stomaticus*, *Duttaphrynus melanostictus*, *Bufo tessardus* from the Bufonidae family, and *Hoplobatrachus tigerinus*, *Euphlyctis cyanophlyctis*, *Euphlyctis kalasgramensis*, and *Allopa ahazarensis* from the Dicroglossidae family (vid. Fig. 2).




Fig2. Geographical distribution of Anurans in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan

4.1 Species account

4.1.1 Family Bufonidae

4.1.1.1 *Duttaphrynus stomaticus*

Common names: Indus Valley toad, Maidanigauk, Indian marbled toad, Punjab toad.

Morphological characteristics

Duttaphrynus stomaticus, also known as the Indian common toad, is a medium-sized toad with an oblong oval body and a snout-vent length (SVL) typically ranging from 62 to 68 mm (Table 1). The dorsum is covered with small, flat, and rounded warts that have black tips, with an overall color spectrum that extends from light gray to olive, yellowish green, or near black (vid. Fig. 3.B). Ventrum is dirty white with distinct dark patterns on the throat and forearms (vid. Fig. 3.C). Also, the ventral surfaces of the hands, palms, and legs are covered with numerous creamy white warts along with brown-tipped digits. The head is characterized by a flat and slightly concave shape, broader than it is long, and lacks cranial crests. Snout is pointed, and interorbital space is slightly broader than that of the upper eyelid. The tympanum is distinct, located at the posterior corner of the eye, and is approximately two-thirds of the size of the eye. Parotoid glands are elongated, elliptical, and not bean-shaped (vid. Fig. 3.A). The first and second fingers are subequal, and fingers follow a length hierarchy of $2=4 < 1 < 2 < 5 < 3$ (vid. Fig. 3.E). Its hind limbs feature partially webbed toes with round fingertips. Toes are arranged in a length sequence of $1 < 2 < 5 < 3 < 4$ and feature single subarticular tubercles and a tarsal spinulated ridge (vid. Fig. 3.D). A distinct tibial gland is also present on the hind limbs. The tibiotarsal articulation aligns between the shoulder and eye when the hind limb is oriented parallel to the body.

Habitat: It is frequently observed in various habitats such as ground, holes, crevices, under rocks, and amidst leaf litter. It is primarily active during the nocturnal hours.



Fig3. *D. stomaticus* (A-F). (A) Lateral view (B) Dorsal surface (C) Ventral surface (D) Toes (E) Fingers (F) Vocal sac

4.1.1.2 *Duttaphrynus melanostictus*

Common name: Asian common toad, Asian black-spined toad, Asian toad, Black spectacled toad

Morphological characteristics

Duttaphrynus melanostictus also known as the Asian common toad is a prominent toad species in Pakistan, ranking among the country's largest toads with a snout-vent length (SVL) of 83-84 mm (Table 1). This species exhibits distinct coloration and markings, the dorsum is brownish grey, varying in shade, or brown to reddish with dark spots adorned with horny warts that are black tipped (vid. Fig. 4.A). In contrast, the ventrum is uniformly dirty white, speckled with light brown on the chin and throat (vid. Fig. 4.B). Notably, black cornifications are present on the upper lips and the tips of the fingers and toes. The head, wider than its length, is characterized by the presence of bony ridges and a temporal ridge, whereas the rostral is absent. The interorbital space is nearly broader than the width of the upper eyelid. Crown of the head is smooth, interrupted only by a few tubercles located between the crests. Parotoid glands are kidney-shaped, and the tympanic membrane is distinct (vid. Fig. 4.C). The fingers exhibit double subarticular tubercles under the penultimate phalanx and bear black cornifications (vid. Fig. 4.D). The toes are partially webbed and bear black cornification (vid. Fig. 4.D).

Habitat: It is characterized by its lethargic disposition and primarily nocturnal activities. These toads can often be found on the ground, in holes and crevices, under rocks, and concealed within leaf litter.




Fig. 4. *D. melanostictus* (A-E). (A) Dorsal surface (B) Ventral surface (C) Lateral view of head showing distinct tympanum (D) Fingers (E) Toes

4.1.1.3 *Bufotessurdus*

Common name: Iranian toad

Morphological characteristics

Bufotessurdus, commonly known as Iranian Toad, is a medium-small toad, with its snout-vent length (SVL) ranging from 55 to 60 mm (Table 1). A distinctive coloration characterizes this species, where the dorsum is predominantly grey with greenish spotting and bears unispinulated tubercles. A notable feature is the dark blotch that adorns the upper eyelid (vid. Fig. 5.A). The head is without cranial crests, and the interorbital space is narrower than the upper eyelid. Tympanic membrane is distinct, approximately half the eye's diameter. Parotoid glands are squarish, matching the upper eyelid's length. The fingers lack webbing (vid. Fig. 5.C), while the hind limbs are extensively webbed (vid. Fig. 5.D).

Habitat: It exhibits nocturnal activities. During daylight hours, it remains concealed under stones, vegetation, beneath fallen logs, or retreats into holes and crevices in the ground.




Fig 5. *B. surdus* (A-D) (A) Dorsal surface (B) Ventral surface (C) Fingers (D) Toes

Table 1. Illustration of statistical analysis of the morphometric characterization of family Bufonidae

Morphometric parameters	<i>Duttaphrynus stomaticus</i> (n=20)		<i>Duttaphrynus melanostictus</i> (n=5)		<i>Bufo surdus</i> (n=8)	
	Range	Mean±S.D	Range	Mean±S.D	Range	Mean±S.D
SVL	62.3-68.5	65.6±2.0	83.3-84.2	83.8±0.4	55.3-59.4	57.0±1.4
SL	5.3-9.2	7.4±1.1	8.1-9.2	8.6±0.5	6.5-7.8	7.2±0.4
HL	15.2-20.2	17.2±1.4	23.2-24.2	23.7±0.5	6.9-9.1	8.3±0.7
ED	4.1-7.3	5.7±0.9	7.1-7.4	7.2±0.1	6.0-6.7	6.4±0.2
IOD	5.1-8.3	6.5±0.9	6.1-7.4	6.5±0.5	4.1-5.1	4.6±0.4
IND	3.1-5.4	4.1±0.7	6.1-6.4	6.3±0.1	3.0- 4.3	3.5±0.4
FL	19.2-29.3	23.7±2.8	28.2-30.2	29.2±1.0	23.1-27.0	25.2±1.4

4.1.2 Family Dicroglossidae

4.1.2.1 *Hoplobatrachustigerinus*

Common name: Tiger frog, Indus valley bullfrog

Morphological characteristics

Hoplobatrachustigerinus, commonly known as Indus Valley bullfrog/Tiger Frog is one of the larger and bulkier frog species with snout-vent length (SVL) ranging from 88-125 mm (Table 2). The dorsum exhibits shades from yellowish green to olive or greyish-brown and is characteristically marked by an erratic pattern of darker olive or greyish-brown spots. A white or yellowish-white streak originates from each eye's posterior corner, extending to the groin. The dorsal surface also includes a mid-dorsal line, which may be continuous or broken, running from the snout to the cloaca and longitudinal glandular folds (vid. Fig. 6.A). In contrast, the ventrum has a smooth texture with a white or dirty hue (vid. Fig. 6.B). The head is longer than its width and slightly concave, featuring a pointed snout. The interorbital space is narrower than the diameter of the upper eyelid. Tympanic membrane is distinct, and round is equal in size to the eye. Fingers are without webbing and bear blunt tips. The first finger is usually longer than the second, with the overall finger length hierarchy being 3-1-4-2 (vid. Fig. 6.D). Toes are entirely webbed and feebly emarginate, with slightly inflated tips. They are arranged in decreasing order of length, 4-5-3-2-1. A distinctive, long, flat, and blunt shovel-shaped inner metatarsal tubercle is located at the base of the first toe (vid. Fig. 6.C).

Habitat: It is frequently observed in habitats like waterlogged paddy fields, vegetation on the periphery of water bodies, damp grasslands, and marshlands, indicating a preference for damp and partially submerged environments.




Fig 6. *H. tigerinus* (A-D). (A) Dorsal surface (B) Ventral surface (C) Toes (D) Fingers

4.1.2.2 *Euphlyctiscyanophlyctis*

Common name: Skittering frog

Morphological characteristics

Euphlyctiscyanophlyctis commonly known as skittering frog, is a medium size frog with a snout-vent length (SVL) ranging from 57-61 mm (Table 2). The dorsum coloration varies from light gray to olive-green, dark olive-brown, or occasionally black, featuring irregular, darker rounded spots on the

back(vid. Fig. 7.A). The patterns of patches on the thighs and legs mirror those on the dorsal side of the body. Its Dorsal surface is adorned with numerous scattered, small smooth tubercles. The ventrum is white, either immaculate or displaying dark speckling or reticulation, belly is smooth: presenting a single row of porous warts on each flank(vid. Fig. 7.B). The snout is rounded, and the nostril, projecting upwards, is equidistant between the snout's tip and the eye. The interorbital space is narrower than the upper eyelid. Tympanic membrane is distinct, and its size is approximately two-thirds of the eye (vid. Fig. 7.D). Their fingers are slender, either pointed or slightly swollen, and lack webbing(vid. Fig. 7.E). The second finger does not extend beyond the first, and the fingers' relative length is $4 < 2 < 1 < 3$. The toes are pointed and extensively webbed, resembling those of ducks(vid. Fig. 7.C).

Habitat: This highly aquatic and littoral frog species is a permanent resident in various habitats featuring pooled water and is often observed floating in open water. These frogs typically spend most of their time either in the water or in the vicinity of water bodies.




Fig 7.E.cyanophlyctis (A-E).(A) Dorsal surface (B) Ventral surface (C) Toes (D) Lateral view(E) Fingers

4.1.2.3 *Euphlyctiskalasgramensis*

Morphological characteristics

Euphlyctiskalasgramensis is a medium-large size frog, with a snout-vent length of about 69 mm (Table 2). Its dorsum is greenish-brown or grey, textured with small tubercles and warts, which are also present on the upper side of the forelimbs, thighs, and tarsus(vid. Fig. 8.A). The ventrum is smooth, featuring irregular black spots that create a net-like appearance(vid. Fig. 8.B). The head is large and triangular. Snout is almost pointed, and the interorbital space is markedly narrower than the upper eyelid. Tympanic membrane is round and distinct. The fingers are relatively small and free of webbing,

while the tips of the fingers are round. Relative length of fingers, from shortest to longest, is $1 = 2 < 4 < 3$. Subarticular tubercles are prominent, rounded, with a single tubercle per digit (vid. Fig. 8.D). Hind limbs are longer and thinner than the forelimbs. The tips of the toes are round and have well-developed webbing. The relative lengths of the toes, from shortest to longest, are $1 < 2 < 5 < 3 < 4$. There is a faint, indistinct fringe of skin on the outer side of the toe 5. Additionally, the elongated and compressed inner metatarsal tubercle is located at the base of toe 1 (vid. Fig. 8.C).

Habitat: This newly identified species is commonly found in various types of aquatic environments, including ponds, rice fields, crop fields, and temporary pools.



Fig 8. *E. kalasgramensis* (A-D). (A) Dorsal surface (B) Ventral surface (C) Toes (D) Fingers

Remarks: This study marks the first recorded instance of *Euphlyctiskalasgramensis* in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, specifically in the Peshawar District. The species, frequently misidentified as *Euphlyctiscyanophlyctis* due to their similarity, is distinguishable through features such as a rounder snout and lack of continuous warty lines on its ventral surface. The confusing photographic evidence from previous studies indicates a need for a comprehensive taxonomic revision of these two species, which share the same distribution range. Furthermore, it suggests the necessity of molecular and cytogenetic studies to examine potential hybridization between these closely related species.

4.1.2.4 *Allopaahazarensis*

Common name: Hazara Torrent Frog

Morphological characteristics

Allopaahazarensis is a medium size frog commonly known as Hazara Torrent Frog, has a snout-vent length of approximately 59-60 mm (Table 2). Its dorsum is greyish, overlaid with a network of a darker color, and marked with spinulated tubercles on short longitudinal folds (vid. Fig. 9.A, F). The upper parts

of the hind limbs consist of dark cross bars, while the rear parts of the thighs have a network of small blackish spots(vid. Fig. 9.C).Ventrums are whitish and throat is speckled with grey, and webbing of the feet exhibits a greyish hue(vid. Fig. 9.B). The head is longer than it is wide. Snout is round and slightly projects beyond the mouth. The naris, situated above the canthus, is accompanied by fewer or no tubercles in the interorbital region. Interorbital space is narrower than the diameter of the upper eyelid, while the internarial distance equals the diameter of the upper eyelid. Fingers are slender with rounded tips. Nuptial spines are present on the inner finger and metacarpal tubercle while sub-articular tubercles of fingers are longer than wide(vid. Fig. 9.E). The relative length of the fingers is $2 < 1 < 4 < 3$. Hind limbs are slightly long and extensively webbed, with distinct single subarticular tubercles on the toes, inner metatarsal is longer than wide(vid. Fig. 9.D).

Habitat: This species is typically observed in calm, clear water pools that are part of rapidly flowing streams.




Figure 9. *A. hazarensis* (A-E). (A) Dorsal surface (B) Ventral surface (C) Lateral view (D) Toes (E) First finger showing nuptial spines (F) Spines on dorsal surface of the body.

Table 2. Illustration of statistical analysis of the morphometric characterization of family Dicroglossidae

Morphometric parameters	<i>Hoplobatrachustigerinus</i> (n=20)		<i>Euphlyctiscyanophlyctis</i> (n=6)		<i>Allopaahazarensis</i> (n=8)		<i>Euphlyctiskalasgramensis</i> (n=1)
	Range	Mean±S.D	Range	Mean±S.D	Range	Mean±S.D	
SVL	88.0-125.3	108.5±10.2	57.1-61.0	59.4±1.4	59.3-60.4	59.9±0.5	69.0
SL	15.1-22.1	18.8±1.8	7.8-8.2	8.0±0.1	8.8-10.3	9.6±0.7	11.3
HL	31.1-39.1	35.7±1.9	16.4-17.0	16.8±0.2	18.2-19.3	18.8±0.5	21.0
ED	7.1-10.2	9.4±0.8	6.0-6.3	6.2±0.1	5.8-6.3	6.1±0.2	7.1
IOD	3.5-6.0	5.1±0.7	2.7-3.2	3.0±0.2	3.1-3.4	3.3±0.1	2.5
IND	4.6-6.5	5.9±0.5	3.0-4.1	3.4±0.4	6.1-6.3	6.2±0.1	4.0
FL	38.5-61.1	50.9±6.1	24.0-26.0	25.0±0.7	24.3-26.4	25.5±0.9	37.3

4.2 Abundance of anuran species

Overall, the bar graph illustrates the distribution and abundance of different anuran species within the nine districts. The data highlight the dominance of *Duttaphrynusstomaticus*, the notable presence of *Hoplobatrachustigerinus*, *Bufotessurdus*, and the comparatively smaller populations of *Duttaphrynusmelanostictus*, *Euphlyctiscyanophlyctis*, *Euphlyctiskalasgramensis*, and *Allopaahazarensis*.

Based on the scrutiny of the 190 collected anurans, the species that displayed the highest prevalence was *Duttaphrynusstomaticus*, with a count of 84 individuals (vid. Fig. 10). This particular species accounted for 44.2% of the total number of individuals collected. This is one of the most common species found in the eight districts. Its prominence in the dataset indicates its prevalence and ecological importance within the studied area. Its widespread occurrence across the eight districts underscores its prevalence and ecological importance. The second most abundant species was *Hoplobatrachustigerinus*, with a noteworthy count of 74 individuals (vid. Fig. 10). This species demonstrated a widespread presence in seven districts, indicating its adaptability and successful establishment within the local ecosystem. Taking the third position in terms of abundance, *Bufotessurdus* was observed with a count of 13 individuals (vid. Fig. 10). Though its population size was relatively smaller compared to the dominant species, its presence signifies its ecological importance within the studied regions.

Furthermore, *Duttaphrynusmelanostictus* was observed with a count of 8 individuals in districts Karak and Buner (vid. Fig. 10). Although relatively fewer in number, the identification of this species enriches the overall species richness and underscores its existence within the sampled area. Additionally, the dataset recorded the presence of *Euphlyctiscyanophlyctis* with 6 individuals observed from districts Dir and Haripur (vid. Fig. 10). *Allopaahazarensis* was observed with a count of 4 individuals, exclusively in district Dir (vid. Fig. 10), indicating its relatively low population density. Lastly, a lone representative *Euphlyctiskalasgramensis* was observed in the district of Peshawar (vid. Fig. 10). This solitary presence in the dataset signifies the occurrence of this species within a specific locale, underscoring its unique ecological niche and the need for further investigation.

These findings contribute to the understanding of the local anuran fauna and provide valuable insights for ecological studies and conservation efforts in the area.




Fig. 10. Relative abundance of Anurans found in the nine districts

4.3 Monthly distribution of anuran species

4.3.1 *Duttaphrynusstomaticus*

The distribution of *D. stomaticus* varies depending on the month (i.e., season) and location (Fig. 11). Overall, it can be noted that the highest number of *D. stomaticus* appeared in the district Peshawar, while the lowest number of species were found in the district Chitral. Further, the highest frequency of the species was shown in the month of July, while few species were found in the months of April and May. This indicates that July is a peak month for this species, possibly due to specific environmental conditions or favorable breeding patterns during that time. Conversely, the months of April and May show relatively few species recorded, which implies that these months are less favorable for the presence of the species. In contrast to other districts, no species of *D. stomaticus* has been found in the Buner district.




Fig. 11. *D. stomaticus* monthly and location wise distribution

4.3.2 Hoplobatrachustigerinus

H. tigerinus was most abundant in district Bannu, followed by district Charsadda (Fig.12). On the other hand, the lowest number of *H. tigerinus* individuals was found in the districts Buner and Lakki Marwat, and no individuals were found in Chitral and Karak districts. The highest number of individuals was observed in August, followed by July. In contrast, April had the lowest number of recorded individuals. While June and September exhibited a moderate number of *H. tigerinus* individuals. Interestingly, in District Buner, only a few individuals were specifically found in August.




Fig. 12. *H. tigerinus* monthly and location wise distribution

4.3.3 Bufotessurdus

B. surdus was exclusively detected in two districts, namely Dir and Chitral (Fig.13). The total count of *B. surdus* individuals observed amounted to thirteen, all of which were confined to the months of June and July. Interestingly, an equal number of individuals were recorded in the month of July from both districts (Fig.13). This suggests a balanced distribution of this species during that month across the two districts.




Fig. 13. *B. surdus* monthly and location wise distribution

4.3.4 Species with limited abundance

In addition to the dominant species mentioned above, the dataset also includes several species with limited abundance. It should be kept in mind that species numbering less than thirteen individuals are not shown in the graph but will be explained here.

Duttaphrynus melanostictus was found exclusively only in two districts, Buner, and Karak. Eight specimens were collected from both districts, with five species collected from Buner in the month of July and three species in the month of August from Karak. The next species, *Euphlyctis cyanophlyctis*, was found in District Dir and Haripur. Overall, only six species were identified from these two districts. These species were exclusively collected during the months of July and August. The *Allopaahazarensis* species were collected from District Dir in the month of August. In total, only four individuals were collected. Lastly, *Euphlyctis kalasgramensis* was the species of which only one individual was captured from District Peshawar.

4.4 Diversity Indices

The information about the diversity, relative abundance (RA), and distribution (C) of the species are shown in Table 3. The table illustrates the relationship between the two parameters for each month. The calculations are based on the total population of seven species across different months.

Duttaphrynus stomaticus was the most abundant species found in most of the study sites, while species like *Euphlyctis kalasgramensis* and *Allopaahazarensis* were identified as sporadic. Additionally, *Duttaphrynus melanostictus*, *Bufotessurdus*, and *Euphlyctis cyanophlyctis* were classified as infrequent, with *Hoplobatrachus tigerinus* being frequent.

S.No.	Species name	Total number of specimens	Distribution (C)	Status	Relative abundance (RA)	Status
1.	<i>D.stomaticus</i>	84	88.8%	Constant	44.21%	Dominant
2.	<i>D.melanostictus</i>	8	22.2%	Infrequent	4.21%	Sub dominant
3.	<i>B.surdus</i>	13	22.2%	Infrequent	6.84%	Sub dominant
4.	<i>H.tigerinus</i>	74	77.7%	Frequent	38.94%	Dominant
5.	<i>E.cyanophlyctis</i>	6	22.2%	Infrequent	3.15%	Sub dominant
6.	<i>E.kalasgramensis</i>	1	11.1%	Sporadic	0.52%	Satellite
7.	<i>A.hazarensis</i>	4	11.1%	Sporadic	2.10%	Satellite

Table 3. Distribution and relative abundance of anurans species in the nine districts.

5 DISCUSSION

The present study was conducted to identify and explore the Anuran fauna of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. As discussed above, the taxonomic identification revealed the presence of seven anuran species belonging to five genera: *Duttaphrynus*, *Bufotes*, *Hoplobatrachus*, *Euphlyctis*, and *Allopaia*. Notably, *Duttaphrynus* and *Euphlyctis* comprised two species each. The most widely distributed species were *Duttaphrynusstomaticus*, found in eight districts, and *Hoplobatrachustigerinus*, present in seven districts. In contrast, *Allopaahazarensis* and *Euphlyctiskalasgramensis* were the least common only found in one district.

Several existing studies have confirmed the presence and abundance of *Duttaphrynusstomaticus* in various districts in KP province, including LakkiMarwat, Dera Ismail Khan, Haripur, and Abbottabad. The studies were conducted by²¹⁶⁴⁷. Furthermore, no studies have been conducted in districts Peshawar, Charsadda, Bannu, Dir, and Chitral. As for *Hoplobatrachustigerinus*, previous studies⁶³⁷⁵³⁵⁹⁶⁰⁶¹⁴² have shown its presence in districts Haripur, Bannu, Kohat, and Karak though it has not been studied yet in districts Buner, LakkiMarwat, Dir, Peshawar, and Charsadda as compared to the ones studied in the current study. However, no studies could be found about the presence and distribution of the species in these five districts. *Bufotesurdus* was found to be an infrequent species in the study sites considered in this study which was only found in district Dir and Chitral. Previous studies in KP show the presence of this species only in Karak⁶⁰.

As shown above (vid. Table 8), *Duttaphrynusmelanostictus* was found to be an infrequent species in the study sites considered in this research study. Existing published literature shows that the species is widely distributed in Haripur⁶, Abbottabad⁴⁷, Bannu³⁷, Karak⁵⁹⁴², and Kohat⁵³, while in our study this species was infrequently distributed in Karak and Buner. *Euphlyctiscyanophlyctis* was found to be an infrequent species, only occurring in districts Haripur and Dir in the present study. Previous literature shows that the species is also present in several other districts of KP, including Karak, Kohat, Dir, Haripur, Dera Ismail Khan, and LakkiMarwat.⁶⁰⁵⁹⁴²⁵³³⁶⁶²¹ *Allopaahazarensis* presence was only restricted to district Dir in which only four species were found. From previous literature, it is reported, from Hazara, Mansehra, Larkana, Jamshoro¹⁴, Sindh⁴⁸, *A. hazarensis* was first time recorded from Rush Valley of Hazara Division of Pakistan. It has also been discovered in Jammu and Kashmir and India however its occurrence in Nepal and Bhutan is reported but not confirmed³¹. *Allopaabarmoachensis* was reported from ToliPir National Park, Pakistan by¹⁷. However,¹⁵ considered *A. barmoachensis*

synonymous with *Allopaahazarensis*, but ³²regarded the two as distinct. Later⁴³reiterated that the species is synonymous with *A. hazarensis*.

Lastly, *Euphlyctiskalasgramensis* presence was only restricted to the district of Peshawar. In Pakistan it was reported for the first time from Punjab². Prior to this, it had been documented in Bangladesh by ²³who suggested the possibility of its range extending into Pakistan and India. In our present investigation, we provide the inaugural record of *Euphlyctiskalasgramensis* from Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KP), specifically from district Peshawar. This novel finding expands the known distribution of the species in the region, further contributing to the understanding of its geographical presence. Limited work has been done on the identification and distribution of the last two species in the literature.

6 Conclusion

In conclusion, this investigation presents an illuminating study on the rich and diverse Anuran fauna within the ecologically diverse Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KP) region of northwestern Pakistan. Our pivotal findings reveal *Duttaphrynusstomaticus* as the predominant species throughout KP, with *Hoplobatrachustigerinus* following closely, thus providing meaningful insights into the distribution of Anuran fauna within the region. We observed the highest concentration of Anurans during the months of July, August, and June across all studied areas. The district of Dir displayed the greatest diversity in terms of Anuran species, followed by the districts of Peshawar and Haripur. Our study presents the first documented occurrence of *Euphlyctiskalasgramensis* in the KP region, specifically in the Peshawar district.

These findings highlight the remarkable potential of KP in discovering potentially unknown amphibian species, possibly adding new species categories to scientific knowledge. Therefore, there's a need for comprehensive taxonomic revisions using both traditional and molecular approaches, which could unearth numerous new taxa. The insights gained from this study serve as a robust foundation for future in-depth exploration and identification of amphibians in this region. Furthermore, these findings accentuate the need for effective legislation aimed at conserving the amphibian fauna within KP, which will not only enhance the biodiversity of Anuran species, but also contribute to our understanding of their biological and ecological roles.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Department of Zoology, University of Peshawar for providing the necessary facilities to carry out this research.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Ahmad, K.S.U.D., 1951. *Climatic regions of West Pakistan*. Pakistan Association for the Advancement of Science, University Institute of Chemistry.
2. Ali, W., Javid, A., Hussain, A., Hafeez-ur-Rehman, M., Chaber, A.L. and Hemmatzadeh, F., 2020. First record of *Euphlyctiskalogrammisis* (Anura: Dicroglossidae) from Punjab, Pakistan. *Mitochondrial DNA Part B*, 5(2), pp.1227-1231.
3. Azim, S., Nawab, S. and Ali, N., 2023. Relative Abundance, Distribution, and Diversity of Mosquitoes in District Peshawar, Charsadda, and Swabi. *Asian Journal of Research in Zoology*, 6(1), pp.7-19.
4. Baig, K.J., Masroor, R. and Arshad, M., 2008. Biodiversity and ecology of the herpetofauna of Cholistan Desert, Pakistan. *Russian Journal of Herpetology*, 15(3), pp.193-205.
5. Banks, B. and Beebee, T.J., 1987. Factors influencing breeding site choice by the pioneering amphibian *Bufo calamita*. *Ecography*, 10(1), pp.14-21.
6. Bibi, S., MisbahulAin Khan and Rehman, A. (2020). An Annotated Checklist of Herpeto Fauna of District Haripur, KPK, Pakistan. *Entomology, Ornithology & Herpetology*, 9(2), pp.1–5. doi:10.35248/2161-0983.20.9.227.
7. Bosch, J. and Martínez-Solano, I., 2003. Factors influencing occupancy of breeding ponds in a montane amphibian assemblage. *Journal of Herpetology*, 37(2), pp.410-413.
8. Boulenger, Albert, G., Thomas, W., London and Tropical Medicine. *The fauna of britishindia, including ceylon and burma*. London Taylor and Francis 1890, p.570. Available at: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/180183>.
9. Bousbouras, D. and Ioannidis, Y., 1997. The distribution and habitat preferences of the amphibians of Prespa National Park. Lake Prespa, Northwestern Greece: A Unique Balkan Wetland, pp.127-133.
10. Brode, J.M. and Bury, R.B., 1984. The importance of riparian systems to amphibians and reptiles¹ Bury². *California riparian systems: ecology, conservation, and productive management*, p.30.
11. Christie, M., Fazey, I., Cooper, R., Hyde, T., Deri, A., Hughes, L., Bush, G., Brander, L., Nahman, A., de Lange, W. and Reyers, B., 2008. An evaluation of economic and non-economic techniques for assessing the importance of biodiversity to people in developing countries. *Defra, London*.
12. Darlington, P., 1957. *Zoogeography: the geographical distribution of animals*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
13. Dash, M.C. and Hota, A.K., 1980. Density effects on the survival, growth rate, and metamorphosis of *Ranatigrina* tadpoles. *Ecology*, 61(5), pp.1025-1028.
14. Dubois, A. and Khan, M.S., 1979. A new species of frog (genus *Rana*, subgenus *Paa*) from northern Pakistan (Amphibia, Anura). *Journal of Herpetology*, pp.403-410.
15. Dubois, A., 1992. Notes sur la classification des Ranidae (Amphibiens, Anoures). *Publications de la Société Linnéenne de Lyon*, 61(10), pp.305-352.
16. Duellman, W.E. and Trueb, L., 1986. *Biology of Amphibians*. McGraw.
17. Faiz, A.H., Hassan, M.U., Bagaturrov, M.F., Tariq, G., Khan, F.M. and Faiz, L.Z., 2018. Status and distribution of amphibians in Tolipir National Park. *Pakistan. Journal of Bioresource Management*, 5(1), pp.8-11.

18. Frost DR (2016) Amphibian Species of the World: An online Reference. Version 5.2. Electronic Database. *American Museum of Natural History*, New York, USA.
19. Frost, D.R., 2010. Amphibian Species of the World: an online reference. Version 5.4. <http://research.amnh.org/vz/herpetology/amphibia/>.
20. Frost, D.R., Grant, T., Faivovich, J., Bain, R.H., Haas, A., Haddad, C.F., De Sa, R.O., Channing, A.L.A.N., Wilkinson, M.A.R.K., Donnellan, S.C. and Raxworthy, C.J., 2006. The amphibian tree of life. *Bulletin of the American Museum of natural History*, 2006(297), pp.1-291.
21. Hamid, H.N., Rais, M., Arif, M. and Noor, R., 2021. Amphibians and Reptiles of Sheikh Baddin National Park, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa: Diversity, Threats, and Conservation Prospects. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, 53(2), p.785.
22. Hopkins, W.A., 2007. Amphibians as models for studying environmental change. *ILAR journal*, 48(3), pp.270-277.
23. Howlader, M.S.A., Nair, A., Gopalan, S.V. and Merilä, J., 2015. A new species of *Euphylyctis* (Anura: Dicroglossidae) from Barisal, Bangladesh. *PLoS One*, 10(2), p.e0116666.
24. Khan, M. S. and Malik, S. A., 1987. Reproductive strategies in a subtropical anuran population in arid Punjab, Pakistan. *Biologia*, 33: 279-303.
25. Khan, M.S. and Mufti, S.A., 1994. Buccopharyngeal specializations of tadpole of *Bufo stomaticus* and its ecological correlates. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, 26(4), pp.285-292.
26. Khan, M.S., 1997. A New Subspecies of Common Skittering Frog *Euphylyctis*. *Pakistan J. Zool*, 29(2), pp.107-112.
27. Khan, M.S., 1999. Food particle retrieval in amphibian tadpoles. *ZOOS" PRINT JOURNAL*, 14(5).
28. Khan, M.S., 1999b. Herpetology of habitat types of Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, 31(3), pp.275-289.
29. Khan, M.S., 2002. A checklist and key to the Amphibia of Pakistan. *Bulletin Chicago Herpetological Society*, 37(9), pp.158-163.
30. Khan, M.S., 2003. Morphology of the *Limnonectes* tadpole, with notes on its feeding ecology and on the breeding habits of *Limnonectes* frogs in riparian Punjab. *Bull. Chicago Herpetol. Soc., Chicago*, 38(9), pp.177-179.
31. Khan, M.S., 2004. Annotated checklist of amphibians and reptiles of Pakistan. *Asiatic Herpetological Research*, 10(1), pp.191-201.
32. Khan, M.S., 2004b. Frogs of the genus *Paa* Dubois, 1992, of submontane regions of Pakistan. *Bulletin of the Chicago Herpetological Society*, 39(3), pp.45-47.
33. Khan, M.S., 2006. *Amphibians and reptiles of Pakistan* (p. 311). Malabar, Florida, USA: Krieger Publishing Company.
34. Khan, M.S., 2010. Checklist of amphibians of Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Wildlife*, 1(2), pp.37-42.
35. Khan, M.S., 2014. Amphibians of Pakistan and their conservation status. *Conservation Biology of Amphibians of Asia. Status of Conservation and Decline of Amphibians: Eastern Hemisphere. Natural History Publications*, pp.1-35.
36. Khan, W., Hadi, N., Dad, I., Panhwar, W.A., Khan, T., Shah, M., Khan, A.A., Habiba, U., Khaliq, G. and Alam, A., 2021. *Euphylyctis Cyanophlyctis* Schneider, 1799 (Amphibia: Dicroglossidae) in district Lower Dir, Pakistan. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*, 82.
37. M. Arsalan, A. Abbas, S.U. Gul, H.U. Rehman, H. Sadia, N. Shah, T. Khan, M. Waqas, K. Usman, H. Ali, S.M. Jawad, Bushra, Sabreen (2018). Vertebrate and Invertebrate fauna of Barghanati Dam FR DomeI Bannu, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan; *JBES*, V13, N1, July, P241-249.
38. Martin, R.E., 2000. Other aquatic life of economic significance: Frogs and frog legs. *Marine and Freshwater Products Handbook, Technomic Pub. Co. Inc., Lancaster*, pp.279-287.

39. Masroor, R. (2012). *A Contribution to the herpetology of northern Pakistan: The amphibians and reptiles of Margalla Hills National Park and surrounding regions*. Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles, USA.
40. Minton, S.A., 1966. A contribution to the herpetology of West Pakistan. *Bulletin of the AMNH*; v. 134, article 2.
41. Muhammad, S. and Khan, M. (2017). *Herpetology of Pakistan part 1 Frogs & toads Herpetological laboratory*.
42. Noureen, S., Khatoon, N., Gul, S.U., Rehman, H.U., Khan, S., Haq, I.U., Khan, M.I. and Jawad, S.M., 2018. Invertebrates and vertebrates fauna of district, Karak, KP, Pakistan.
43. Ohler, A. and Dubois, A., 2006. Phylogenetic relationships and generic taxonomy of the tribe Paini (Amphibia, Anura, Ranidae, Dicroglossinae), with diagnoses of two new genera. *Zoosystema-Paris*, 28(3), p.769.
44. Pope, S.E., Fahrig, L., and Merriam, H.G., 2000. Landscape complementation and metapopulation effects on leopard frog populations. *Ecology*, 81(9), pp.2498-2508.
45. Pough, F.H., Andrews, R.M., Cadle, J.E., Crump, M.L., Savitzky, A.H. and Wells, K.D., 2001. Foraging ecology and interspecific interactions. *Herpt. 2nd edition New Jersey: Prentice Hall*, pp.431-464.
46. Quaranta, A., Bellantuono, V., Cassano, G. and Lippe, C., 2009. Why amphibians are more sensitive than mammals to xenobiotics. *PLoS One*, 4(11), p.e7699.
47. Rehman, A., Ahmed, S. and Bibi, S., 2020. Diversity and Feeding Behavior of Toads (Amphibia: Anura) in District Abbottabad Khyber Paktunkhwa, Pakistan. *JOJ Wildlife & Biodiversity*, 2(1), pp.33-40.
48. Shaikh, K., Gachal, G.S., Yusuf, S.M., Nabi, G., Qadri, A.H. and Afghan, A., 2014. Checklist and Distribution of Amphibian fauna in Sindh, Pakistan. *Sindh University Research Journal*, 46(2), pp.159-162.
49. Sindaco, R., Jeremčenko, V.K., Venchi, A. and Grieco, C., 2008. *The Reptiles of the Western Palearctic: Annotated checklist and distributional atlas of the turtles, crocodiles, amphisbaenians and lizards of Europe, North Africa, Middle East and Central Asia* (Vol. 1). Latina: Edizioni Belvedere.
50. Smith, M.A. (1943) *The Fauna of British India Including Ceylon and Burma. Reptilia and Amphibia Volume III.-Serpentes*. Taylor and Francis, London, 584 pp.
51. Smith, M.A., 1935. *Fauna of British India Including Ceylon and Burma: Reptilia and Amphibia*, Vol. II, Indian Reprint, 1974. *Sauria*, New Delhi.
52. Tagar, I., Shaikh, K., Gachal, G.S., Arain, I., Arain, M. and Sarhindi, B.A., 2019. Assessment of anurans (frogs and toads) inhabiting district NaushahroFeroze, Sindh, Pakistan. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 7(1), pp.1419-1422.
53. Ullah, K., Momeen, A., Khalil, E., Bibi, M., Kalsoom, N. and Qazi, A.I., 2022. Invertebrates and Vertebrates Fauna of ZameerGul Dam, Kohat, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. *Texas Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*, 2, pp.1-9.
54. Vitt, L.J. and Caldwell, J.P. (2014). *Herpetology: an introductory biology of amphibians and reptiles*. Amsterdam; Boston: Academic Press.
55. Wake, D.B. and Koo, M.S., 2018. Amphibians. *Current Biology*, 28(21), pp.R1237-R1241.
56. Watson, J.W., McAllister, K.R. and Pierce, D.J., 2003. Home ranges, movements, and habitat selection of Oregon Spotted Frogs (*Ranapretiosa*). *Journal of herpetology*, 37(2), pp.292-300.

57. Watters, J.L., Cummings, S.T., Flanagan, R.L. and Siler, C.D., 2016. Review of morphometric measurements used in anuran species descriptions and recommendations for a standardized approach. *Zootaxa*, 4072(4), pp.477-495.
58. Wells, K. (2007). *The ecology & behavior of amphibians*. *Bibliovault OAI Repository, the University of Chicago Press*. DOI:10.7208/chicago/9780226893334.001.0001.
59. Younas, S., Gul, S., Rehman, H.U., Junaid, F., Achakzai, W.M., Saddozai, S., Usman, K. and Ahmad, Z., 2017b. Zoological fauna of Khurum Dam and MuhabbatKhel Dam of district Karak, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan.
60. Younas, S., Rehman, H.U., Gul, S., Gul, R. and Khattak, B., 2017a. Animal diversity of district Karak, KP, Pakistan.
61. Zaryab, M., Yousaf, M.A., Gul, S.U., Rehman, H.U., Ullah, S., Haider, I., Sadia, H., Kiani, B.H., Khan, M.Y., Nawaz, A. and Hameed, A., 2016. Vertebrate and invertebrate fauna of Tanga dam district Karak Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Pakistan.
62. Zug, G.R., Vitt, L. and Caldwell, J.P., 2001. *Herpetology: an introductory biology of amphibians and reptiles*. Academic press.

UNDER PEER REVIEW