

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_104920
Title of the Manuscript:	QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF ANURAN DIVERSITY, ABUNDANCE, AND DISTRIBUTION IN KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PAKISTAN
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalairiz.com/index.php/AJRIZ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The research revealed that Duttaphrynus stomaticus was widely distributed throughout the nine surveyed districts. The region of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, known for its ecological diversity, houses a wide range of anuran species. Conducting a taxonomic review of the anuran fauna, using both traditional and molecular methods, has the potential to uncover numerous new taxa. This, in turn, would greatly contribute to the understanding of anuran biology, conservation, and their ecological significance.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES, IT IS SCIENTIFICALLY CORRECT</p> <p>YES! REFERENCES SUFFICIENT AND RECENT.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>YES! ENGLISH QUALITY OF THE ARTICLE IS SUITABLE FOR SCHOLARLY COMMUNICATIONS.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mudassir Alam
Department, University & Country	Aligarh Muslim University, India