

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_104287
Title of the Manuscript:	Breakdown of Disposable Face Mask Waste mixed with Lagerstroemia speciosa Leaves to Analyse the Growth of Eisenia fetida, Reproduction rate, and NPK level in the various compost media
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajriz.com/index.php/AJRIZ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it's important for the scientific community, as well as It's beneficial to protect our environment from the adverse effect of mask Waste.</p> <p>Yes the title of the article is appropriately suitable</p> <p>Yes the abstract of the article is completely comprehensive</p> <p>Yes the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriately, quite changes suggested in minor comments</p> <p>Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>Yes, references are sufficient and try to add some recent ones.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Add Key words • Author should have written the captions at the bottom of the Figures. • Genus and species name should be in italics. • Replace the & with and all over the place e.g. (Dawoud & Eweis, 2006). 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors should grammatically correct the sentences in the Conclusion section. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunil Shriram Chaudhari
Department, University & Country	Mohsinbhai Zaveri Mahavidyalaya, India