

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_104597
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF FISHERIES PRODUCTION CENTER DEVELOPMENT IN NILA VILLAGE, CIAMIS REGENCY
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Not really. Just an information.</p> <p>No. DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY OF NILA VILLAGE AS A TILAPIAN AQUACULTURE CENTRE, CIAMIS REGENCY</p> <p>Not really.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>s</p> <p>Not Sufficient. The authors must add some more relevant references. Some are in the text, but not in references (Salam 2006, Tejo 2022)</p> <p>1) The authors must be consistent in mentioning the research location. Kampung Nila, Tilapia Village, Nila Kawali Village, Kampung Nila Kawali, Nila Village, or Kawali Fishing Village.</p> <p>2) Abbreviations must be written in the right way. BPS, CBIB, CPIB, KKP, Pokdakan, UPT, UPTD. All these are in Indonesian abbreviation, some without explanation.</p> <p>3) Authors should be consistent towards research objectives. The background stated, the data collected, the analysis carried out, and the discussions raised must lead to a point of "answering the research objectives". The research objectives are answered in "conclusion".</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Enough	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Please revise	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Irwan Effendi
Department, University & Country	University of Riau, Indonesia