

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_105014
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Azadirachta indica and Datura stramonium extract with ethanol against different stages of Tribolium castinium
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript describes repellent activity of Datura and Neem against <i>Tribolium castinium</i> pests. The manuscript can be accepted after a major revision.</p> <p><b>Comments:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Authors should follow the journal rules and regulations for abstract, introduction, references arrangement and others.</li> <li>2. The title of the manuscript is not suitable. The suggested alternative title "<b>Repellent Efficacy of <i>Azadirachta indica</i> and <i>Datura stramonium</i> ethanolic extract against larval and adult <i>Tribolium castinium</i></b>"</li> <li>3. Authors should mention the concentration of plant extract mg/ml or % for each treatment.</li> <li>4. Authors should provide references for CRD methods.</li> <li>5. Author mentioned two objectives as repellent and toxic effect, but the findings of the manuscripts is not clear as per the objectives.</li> <li>6. Author mentioned statistical analysis of variance and the Tukey-HSD test, but didn't provide any significant data analysis.</li> <li>7. Author should provide bar graph with statistical analysis instead of line graph.</li> <li>8. Author should provide % repellent and toxic effects of both plant extract.</li> <li>9. This manuscript is ordinally written, so authors need to work upon it.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dipan Sarma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tripura University, India</b>