

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_104182
Title of the Manuscript:	Spatial examination of agricultural input market and the technical efficiency of farmers in Surulere Local Government Area of Oyo state, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes! This manuscript appears significant to the scientific community, particularly those studying agricultural economics and rural development. It investigates how market proximity and farm accessibility impact agricultural productivity, employing advanced methodologies like remote sensing and GIS. While focused on a specific region in Nigeria, its findings may have broader applications, contributing to discussions on sustainable development and food security.</p> <p>2. Yes! The title seems to accurately represent the content of the paper. It clearly states the geographical focus of the paper, the subject of study (agricultural input market and technical efficiency of farmers), and the methods used (spatial examination). However, it's a bit lengthy and might be simplified for greater impact. Here's an alternative suggestion: "Assessing Market Proximity, Farm Accessibility, and Farmer Efficiency in Surulere, Nigeria".</p> <p>3. The abstract introduces the study topic and methods, but it doesn't clearly state the results or conclusions, which are key elements of a comprehensive abstract. Therefore, while it provides some important information, it could be more complete.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically accurate.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent. I don't have any suggestions for additional reference materials.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language quality of the article appears to be generally good and suitable for scholarly communications. The sentences are grammatically correct, and the vocabulary is appropriate for an academic context.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript appears to tackle an important topic in agricultural economics and rural development. It employs rigorous methods, such as remote sensing and GIS techniques, to investigate the impact of market proximity and farm accessibility on farmers' productivity. The English language quality is generally good and suitable for scholarly communication. However, the abstract could be more comprehensive by including explicit mention of the study's findings and conclusions.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Le Trung Hieu
Department, University & Country	School of Economics and Law, Vietnam