

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_105315
Title of the Manuscript:	CIRCULAR ECONOMY APPROACH FOR TREATMENT OF WATER-CONTAINING DICLOFENAC USING RECYCLABLE MAGNETIC Fe₃O₄ NANOPARTICLES: A CASE STUDY OF REAL WATER SAMPLE FROM LAKE VICTORIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
--	--	--

Review Form 1.7

<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, important.</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Well written article. The following defects should be corrected 1- In the results section, in the Freundlich diagram, what is the reason for using the logarithm? Why is Ln not used? 2- Mention the q_{max} value obtained from the isotherm 3- Compare the results of your study with other studies</p> <p>Reviewer's comment Well written article. The following defects should be corrected 1- In the results section, in the Freundlich diagram, what is the reason for using the logarithm? Why is Ln not used? 2- Mention the q_{max} value obtained from the isotherm 3- Compare the results of your study with other studies</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Fahimeh Moghaddam</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Zabul University of Medical Sciences, Iran</p>