

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_105372
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Profitability, Liquidity, Activity, and Market Value Ratio on Stock Prices in the Manufacturing Industry Sector Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript gives important information about the financial analysis for organizations. It explains the effect of profitability, liquidity, activity, and market value ratio on stock prices in manufacturing industry companies. The finance students and financial department specialists of different companies can benefit from the results of this study.</p> <p>2. The title of the paper is quietly fits with the main research theme of the study.</p> <p>3. The abstract gives satisfactory summary for the study.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Adding more recent references is recommended</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	It is highly recommended to have the paper grammar-checked and undergo stylistics and editing.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Generally this paper satisfactory with its literature and analysis parts and suitable to publish in your journal.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Derya Ilic
Department, University & Country	EGE University, Turkiye