

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_104317</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparative analysis of the prevalence of musculoskeletal pain and discomfort in truck drivers by age group.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The study examined age comparisons between individuals aged 18 to 49 and those aged 50 and older, yet it did not uncover a rationale for the age-based categorization. Furthermore, the understanding of the nature of work in this context remain unclear.</li> <li>The title should provide additional details about the sample.</li> <li>The keywords should be customized to align with the specific content of the research.</li> <li>The current research approach is deficient in terms of sample selection methodology. Without a clear job description and the inclusion/exclusion criteria outlined, it becomes challenging to determine whether drivers exhibit similar behaviours.</li> <li>The reliability of the QVS-80 has not been demonstrated, and this applies to the outcomes encompassing Quality of Life (QOL) and Health Assessment as well.</li> <li>For comparing two age groups, the appropriate statistical method to use would be the unpaired t-test.</li> <li>The study's outcomes should incorporate the following details:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>General participant information, encompassing age, gender, congenital conditions, body mass index, etc.</li> <li>Work-related attributes like work experience, work hours, work style, etc.</li> <li>Discomfort in trunk</li> <li>QOL and Health assessment</li> </ol> </li> <li>To comparing two groups with distinct age ranges, a suitable chart to employ would be a bar chart. This chart should not be disjointed from the data. Upon reviewing the results, some uncertainty arises regarding the possibility of multiple injuries.</li> <li>In summary, the conclusion touched upon mental health issues. However, throughout the preceding content, this aspect has not been addressed. It might be necessary to include further reasons that are pertinent to this line of work.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>When composing articles, it's important for each chapter to maintain consistency and achieve a higher level of comprehensiveness.</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chatsuda Mata
Department, University & Country	Lampang Rajabhat University, Thailand