

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_104148
Title of the Manuscript:	Modified Solutions of Linear Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients near the Origin and Infinity
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>First, the author could provide more detail about the implications of the findings for other research areas. For example, how could the findings be applied to the study of other types of differential equations? Second, the author could provide more detail about the limitations of the study. For example, how confident can we be in the findings given the small sample size?</p> <p>Overall, the conclusion is a strong summary of the paper's findings. However, with some additional detail, it could be even more informative and helpful to other researchers.</p> <p>Here are some specific suggestions for how the conclusion could be improved:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The author could discuss the implications of the findings for other research areas, such as the study of differential equations with more than three blocks of classified terms. The author could provide more detail about the limitations of the study, such as the small sample size and the fact that the findings were only tested on a specific type of differential equation. The author could cite additional references that support the findings of the study. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Before final submission, the author can go for a proof-reading.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	H. Mary Henrietta
Department, University & Country	Saveetha Engineering College, India