

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_105027
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL INVESTIGATION AND THIN LAYER CHROMATOGRAPHY OF CHLOROFORM AND METHANOL EXTRACT OF AZADIRACHTA INDICA AND EUCALYPTUS GLOBULUS LEAVES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, interesting and can bring benefits when well indicated.</p> <p>Yes, clarifies the content of article.</p> <p>Yes, it clearly summarizes the content of the article.</p> <p>I suggest separating the result from the discussion.</p> <p>Yes, methodology well described with possible reproduction.</p> <p>Yes, but revision will be required, using correct methodology. Some are incomplete, lacking publication date.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it's ok</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I suggest adding in the conclusion, in which this findings may contribute in practice.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Carolina Ferreira Vasco
Department, University & Country	Paulista University, Brazil