

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_104278
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of potato (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L.) to split application of nitrogen under North Gujarat Agro-Climatic condition of Gujarat
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The Gujarat state rank fourth in potato production. Its productivity is quite low. In order to sustain its production, a lot of basic as well as applied aspects are urgently needed. 2. Yes, the title is OK. 3. The abstract is written in precisely. 4. The manuscript is prepared as per scientific and need based aptitudes. 5. Yes, it is scientifically correct. However, minor corrections/ clarifications as cited in the manuscript are needed. 6. References are sufficient and update. NOTE: However, minor corrections/ clarifications as cited in the manuscript are needed. Red marked means- to be deleted and of green to be added.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. D. S. Yadav
Department, University & Country	University of Agriculture and Technology, India