

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105166
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Spatio-Temporal Behaviour of Arrivals and Prices in Major Onion Markets of India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This paper is important for the scientific community, especially for marketing costs. 2. The article title given is by the article's contents. 3. The abstract has fulfilled the important points. However, the abstract should contain, the methodology (type of research, data collection instruments and tools, and research procedures). 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. Scientifically, the manuscript is correct. 6. References are already using the latest edition. However, please add recent references (at least the last 5 years). 7. Kindly add GAP research analysis so that the novelty of this research is clear. 8. Kindly broaden the discussion, linking findings and similar previous research, deficiencies, and advantages. 9. Kindly attention to the journal template. 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	In general the article is good, coherent, and interesting	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indah Suciati
Department, University & Country	Alkhairaat University, Indonesia