

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104489
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Zinc and Boron application on growth and yield of French Bean (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This manuscript is good, written in a good English with a concise title. Several things must be improved:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. India must be mentioned when the location of the experiment is addressed. 2. The objective of this research must be mentioned in Abstract and Introduction. 3. The nine 10 treatments must be addressed in Abstract and is better to be included in one single table in Methods to increase their readability. Why it is mentioned 9 treatments in Abstract but 10 treatments in Methods? 4. Introduction addresses the plant long enough but little about Zn and B. Introduction on Zn and B must be given enough portion to justify the need of Zn and B fertilization for this plant. 5. Table 1 and 2 must be referred to during discussion. Table 2 is referred but wrongly mentioned to be Table 1. 6. Discussion must refer to Treatment Notation. Table 1 and Table 2 must include one more column for Treatment Notation. 7. The reference is in general relevant, current, and adequate. 8. There are several small mistakes corrected in the manuscript, please revise. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, it is	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdul Kadir Salam
Department, University & Country	University of Lampung, Indonesia