

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_103446
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) hybrids under Agro- climatic conditions of U.P. in Kharif– 2022
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Not at all</p> <p>Not at all</p> <p>No</p> <p>Not at all</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Abstract needs to be equipped with objectives and methods are not explained</p> <p>2. The data displayed is no longer relevant</p> <p>3. Many citations are not mentioned the source</p> <p>4. In the introduction, it has not been explained to what extent the agro-climate can affect plant growth, especially rice plants</p> <p>5. The method lacks detail: what spacing to use, how to prepare the land, how to plant it, how many samples, etc.</p> <p>6. Literature 30 years ago is irrelevant today.</p> <p>7. What basically determines the hybrid R 504 is the best?</p> <p>8. The author is less comprehensive in reading the data.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahayu Woro Lestari
Department, University & Country	University of Islam, Indonesia