

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>                       |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJBCRR_103801  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Assessment of Obesity as a marker for Patients with Type II Diabetes in the Ashanti Region, Ghana |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcrr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The manuscript is important to the scientific community. The manuscript describes type 2 diabetes as an under-researched public problem in Ghana.</li> <li>The title "Assessment of Obesity as a marker for Patients with Type II Diabetes in the Ashanti Region, Ghana" contains the necessary information about the topic. The title clearly emphasizes the connection between obesity and type 2 diabetes.</li> <li>The summary of the article contains the information about the aim of the research, describes the methodology of the study and the most important results.</li> <li>Subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</li> <li>The introduction describes the number of people suffering from diabetes in the world and the insufficient research of people suffering from it in Ghana. Material and methods describe the descriptive statistics used. It was not stated whether the respondents gave written consent to participate in the research. If they are, I suggest that the authors state in the methods. The authors describe the method of measurement "Anthropometry measurements such as height, weight, waist circumference, and hip circumference, were all carried out following standardized procedures by experienced personnel. The height in centimeters was measured using a regular calibrated portable stadiometer (Hopkins Road Rod®, USA) to the nearest centimeter, and the weight in kilograms of the patient was measured using a digital weighing scale to the nearest kilogram". I suggest that the authors write whether all the respondents were measured with the same digital scale as it described. I suggest that the statement "In Ghana, the perception of overweight and obesity as a sign of beauty and good health may be the reason for the growing epidemic of obesity in females according to Obirikorang et al. (2016). supplement with literature.</li> <li>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</li> </ol> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | Yes.   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | I suggest that the authors state the limitations of the study.   |   |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i><br>The study has not caused any ethical issues, the references are relevant and the statistical analysis is appropriate. |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                     |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Sonja Šare</b>                   |
| Department, University & Country | <b>University of Zadar, Croatia</b> |